

PARAMODULAR CUSP FORMS

CRIS POOR, POOR@FORDHAM.EDU
DAVID S. YUEN, YUEN@LAKEFOREST.EDU

1:23, 12-1-2009

ABSTRACT. We classify Siegel modular cusp forms of weight two for the paramodular group $K(p)$ for primes $p < 600$. We find that weight two Hecke eigenforms beyond the Gritsenko lifts correspond to certain abelian varieties defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor p . The arithmetic classification is in the companion article by A. Brumer and K. Kramer [4]. The Paramodular Conjecture, supported by these computations and consistent with the Langlands philosophy and the work of H. Yoshida [31], is a partial extension to degree 2 of the Shimura-Taniyama Conjecture. These nonlift Hecke eigenforms share Euler factors with the corresponding abelian variety A and satisfy congruences modulo ℓ with Gritsenko lifts, whenever A has rational ℓ -torsion.

§1. Introduction.

In 1980, H. Yoshida conjectured that for every abelian surface defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, there exists a discrete group $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ and a degree two Siegel modular form of weight two for Γ with the same L -function. He supported this conjecture by constructing lifts and giving specific examples. A broader context for this conjecture may be found in the recent article [32] of H. Yoshida.

Systematic computational evidence for nonlifts required specification of the discrete group of the putative Siegel modular form. The Paramodular Conjecture posits the paramodular group $K(N)$ as the group corresponding to certain rational abelian surfaces of conductor N . Accordingly, this article studies spaces of Siegel paramodular cusp forms. We believe that the examples given here are the first nonlifts of weight two found. Although we have verified the equality of some Euler factors in our examples, we have not proven the equality of any L -functions. For a natural number N , the paramodular group $K(N)$ is defined by:

$$K(N) = \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} * & * & */N & * \\ N* & * & * & * \\ N* & N* & * & N* \\ N* & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } * \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $S_2^k(K(N))$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of Siegel modular cusp forms of weight k and degree two with respect to the group $K(N)$. A general statement of the Paramodular

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F46.

Key words and phrases. paramodular, Hecke eigenform.

Conjecture, a degree two version of the Shimura-Taniyama Conjecture, may be found in the companion article [4] by A. Brumer and K. Kramer. Here, however, we focus on the simplest case:

1.1 Paramodular Conjecture for rational abelian surfaces of prime conductor. *Let p be a prime. There is a bijection between lines of Hecke eigenforms $f \in S_2^2(K(p))$ that have rational eigenvalues and are not Gritsenko lifts and isogeny classes of rational abelian surfaces $\mathcal{A}$ of conductor p . In this correspondence, we have*

$$L(\mathcal{A}, s, \text{Hasse-Weil}) = L(f, s, \text{spin}).$$

In [4], the authors obtain a list of the odd numbers $N < 1000$ for which an abelian surface of conductor N could exist; examples of such surfaces are given for most of the primes on this list. The first is $p = 277$ and the known surfaces of that conductor are all isogenous to the Jacobian $\mathcal{A}_{277}$ of the curve $y^2 + y = x^5 + 5x^4 + 8x^3 + 6x^2 + 2x$. Our results cover primes $p < 600$ and are consistent with the Conjecture 1.1. In particular, there are rational nonlift Hecke eigenforms where the Paramodular Conjecture indicates there should be and there are none where it indicates that there should be none.

1.2 Theorem. *For primes $p < 600$ and not in the set $\{277, 349, 353, 389, 461, 523, 587\}$, $S_2^2(K(p))$ is spanned by Gritsenko lifts.*

1.3 Theorem. *The subspace of Gritsenko lifts in $S_2^2(K(277))$ has dimension 10 whereas $S_2^2(K(277))$ has dimension 11. There is a rational Hecke eigenform f that is not a Gritsenko lift. The Euler factors of $L(f, s, \text{spin})$ for $q = 2, 3, 5$ and the linear coefficients of the Euler factors for $q = 7, 11, 13$ agree with those of $L(\mathcal{A}_{277}, s, \text{Hasse-Weil})$.*

The abelian surface $\mathcal{A}_{277}$ has rational 15-torsion. We have the following congruence on the modular side.

1.4 Theorem. *Let f be as in Theorem 1.3 and be chosen so that $f \in S_2^2(K(277))(\mathbb{Z})$ has Fourier coefficients of unit content. Let the first Fourier Jacobi coefficient of f be $\phi \in J_{2,277}$ and let $R = \text{Grit}(\phi) \in S_2^2(K(277))(\mathbb{Z})$. We have $f \equiv R \pmod{15}$.*

The above theorems answer, in the case of the paramodular group, the challenge posed in [3, pg. 16] to show that the first nonlift of weight two for prime level occurs at 277. Further examples, all currently conjectural, of weight two paramodular nonlifts and their Hecke eigenvalues and congruences may be found in section 7, see Table 5 in particular. Please see our website [24] for further data and details.

Computations in weight $k = 2$ pose a special challenge since no dimension formula is known. T. Ibukiyama used trace formula techniques [11] to give $\dim S_2^k(K(p))$ for $k \geq 5$ and any prime p . He has recently proven dimension formulae for $k = 3$ and 4, see [14]. The ring structure of $M_2(K(p))$, the graded ring of Siegel modular forms for $K(p)$, has been studied for $p = 2, 3$ and 5 in [12], [5] and [17], respectively. T. Ibukiyama has also proven [13] that $S_2^2(K(p)) = \{0\}$ for primes $p \leq 23$. These were hitherto the only systematic computations concerning paramodular cusp forms of weight two.

In weight two, standard constructions of Siegel modular forms leave something to be desired. There are no Eisenstein series of weight two. The useful construction of tracing theta series from $M_2^k(\Gamma_0(p))$ to $M_2^k(K(p))$ always vanishes in weight two. See Theorem 3.5 for the proof of this fact. The Gritsenko lift, $\text{Grit} : J_{2,p}^{\text{cusp}} \rightarrow S_2^2(K(p))$, which constructs paramodular forms for $K(p)$ from Jacobi forms of index p , is a nontrivial construction; however, the subspace of lifts in weight two is precisely the uninteresting space for arithmetic geometry. In order to construct nonlifts of weight two, we used a method of integral closure.

Given two linearly independent Gritsenko lifts $g_1, g_2 \in S_2^2(K(N))$, define the space $\mathcal{H}(g_1, g_2) = \{(H_1, H_2) \in S_2^4(K(N)) \times S_2^4(K(N)) : H_1 g_2 = H_2 g_1\}$. The map $\iota_{g_1, g_2} : S_2^2(K(N)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(g_1, g_2)$ given by $\iota_{g_1, g_2}(f) = (g_1 f, g_2 f)$ injects. If $\dim \mathcal{H}(g_1, g_2) \leq \dim J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}}$ for some choice of g_1, g_2 , then $S_2^2(K(N))$ consists entirely of lifts. Suppose, on the other hand, that all choices of g_1, g_2 yield $\dim \mathcal{H}(g_1, g_2) > \dim J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}}$. For $(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{H}(g_1, g_2)$ but not in $\iota_{g_1, g_2} \text{Grit}(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}})$, we might hope that the meromorphic $f = H_1/g_1 = H_2/g_2$ is actually holomorphic. In this case we use the initial Fourier expansion of f to search for an $F \in S_2^4(K(N))$ with $f^2 = F$, or $H_1^2 = g_1^2 F$. The validity of a weight 8 identity $H_1^2 = g_1^2 F$ is proof that H_1/g_1 is in the integral closure of $M_2(K(N))$ and is holomorphic.

Thus, to rule out nonlifts of weight two, one spans $S_2^2(K(p))$, while to construct nonlifts one must span $S_2^8(K(p))$ as well. For primes $p < 600$ and $p \neq 499$, $S_2^4(K(p))$ was spanned by tracing theta series, by multiplying weight two Gritsenko lifts and by smearing with Hecke operators. The ring structure of $M_2(K(p))$ plays a crucial role in spanning $S_2^4(K(p))$ because products of lifts do not in general span a Hecke stable subspace. This same multiplication of Fourier series, however, is computationally expensive. It was difficult to span $S_2^4(K(479))$ of dimension 440, for example, because the number of Gritsenko lifts in $S_2^2(K(479))$ is relatively small, just 8. Filling $S_2^4(K(479))^+$, which turned out to have dimension 341, required smearing $S_2^2(K(479))S_2^2(K(479))$ with Hecke operators nine times. This required computing 1.9 million Fourier coefficients for each of the 8 weight two Gritsenko lifts. The Fourier coefficients of the Gritsenko lifts were computed using the method of *theta blocks* due to V. Gritsenko, N. Skoruppa and D. Zagier, see section 4. Finding 99 linearly independent elements in $S_2^4(K(479))^-$ was achieved by theta tracing.

Finite sets of Fourier coefficients that determine vanishing and congruence in $S_2^k(K(p))$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ are given in section 5. In particular, a generalization of Sturm's Theorem [28] to $n = 2$ may be of independent interest. For $f \in M_n^k$, denote the Fourier coefficients by $a(T; f)$. The Fourier coefficients of a level one elliptic modular form $f \in M_1^k$ are in the $\mathbb{Z}$ -module spanned by the first $k/12$: $\forall n, a(n; f) \in \mathbb{Z}\langle a(j; f) : j \leq k/12 \rangle$. In Theorem 5.15 we prove that for level one Siegel forms $f \in M_2^k$, all the Fourier coefficients $a(T; f)$ are in the $\mathbb{Z}$ -module spanned by those whose index T has dyadic trace less than or equal to $k/6$:

$$\forall f \in M_2^k, \forall T, a(T; f) \in \mathbb{Z}\langle a(S; f) : w(S) \leq k/6 \rangle.$$

Section 8 contains examples of nonlifts of higher weight, in particular, weight 3 cusp forms whose construction was requested by A. Ash, P. Gunnells and M. McConnell [2]. We plan a sequel that studies $S_2^k(K(N))$ for composite N and makes more use of Fourier-Jacobi expansions.

We thank T. Ibukiyama and N. Skoruppa for new results which improved our exposition and the extent of our computations. First, T. Ibukiyama [14] proved new dimension formulas for prime levels for Siegel forms of weights 3 and 4, including the paramodular case. Secondly, N. Skoruppa explained to us his joint work with V. Gritsenko and D. Zagier on theta blocks [27]. We thank Fordham University for the more powerful computer acquired through the Office of Internal Grants. We thank N. Skoruppa and Siegen University for the use of their cluster. The computations of this paper were mostly performed with Mathematica and C^{++} but also with Maple, Perl, Pari and Fermat.

A. Brumer began promoting this project in 1997 and we thank him for finally convincing us to undertake it. Without his unflagging encouragement and assistance, this work would not have been attempted, nor once attempted, as satisfactorily concluded. In particular, A. Brumer suggested studying Gritsenko lifts and computed the coefficients of thousands of the Jacobi forms used here.

§2. Notation

For a commutative ring R , let $M_{m \times n}(R)$ denote the R -module of m -by- n matrices with coefficients in R . For $x \in M_{m \times n}(R)$, let $x' \in M_{n \times m}(R)$ denote the transpose. Let $V_n(R) = \{x \in M_{n \times n}(R) : x' = x\}$ be the symmetric n -by- n matrices over R ; $V_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a euclidean vector space under the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \text{tr}(xy)$. For $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, an element $x \in V_n(R)$ is called positive definite, written $x > 0$, when $v'xv > 0$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$; we denote the set of these by $\mathcal{P}_n(R)$. When $x \in V_n(R)$ and $v'xv \geq 0$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we write $x \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\text{semi}}(R)$. The half-integral matrices are $\mathcal{X}_n^{\text{semi}} = \{T \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{Q}) : \forall v \in \mathbb{Z}^n, v'Tv \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_n = \mathcal{X}_n^{\text{semi}} \cap \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $\text{GL}_n(R) = \{x \in M_{n \times n}(R) : \det(x) \text{ is a unit in } R\}$ be the general linear group and $\text{SL}_n(R) = \{x \in \text{GL}_n(R) : \det(x) = 1\}$ the special linear group. For $x \in \text{GL}_n(R)$ let x^* denote the inverse transpose. Let $I_n \in \text{GL}_n(R)$ be the identity matrix and set $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_{2n}(R)$. The symplectic group is defined by $\text{Sp}_n(R) = \{x \in \text{GL}_{2n}(R) : x'J_nx = J_n\}$. For $T, u \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, we define $T[u] = u'Tu$ and denote the $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivalence class of T by $[T] = \cup_u T[u]$ for $u \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. We write $\Gamma_n = \text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and for $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ define the group of positive R -similitudes by $\text{GSp}_n^+(R) = \{x \in M_{2n \times 2n}(R) : \exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}^+ : g'J_ng = \mu J_n\}$. Each $\gamma \in \text{GSp}_n^+(R)$ has a unique $\mu = \mu(\gamma) = \det(\gamma)^{1/n}$. For $S \in V_n(R)$, let $t(S) = \begin{pmatrix} I & S \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ define a homomorphism $t : V_n(R) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_n(R)$. For $U \in \text{GL}_n(R)$, let $u(U) = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & U^* \end{pmatrix}$ define a homomorphism $u : \text{GL}_n(R) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_n(R)$. We let $\Gamma_0(N) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : C \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \}$, $G\Delta_n^+(R) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GSp}_n^+(R) \}$ and $\Delta_n(R) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_n(R) \}$. The group $\Gamma_0(N)$ is normalized by the Fricke involution $F_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -NI_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Define the Siegel upper half space $\mathcal{H}_n = \{\Omega \in V_n(\mathbb{C}) : \text{Im } \Omega \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})\}$. The group $\text{GSp}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_n$ as $\gamma \langle \Omega \rangle = (A\Omega + B)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$. For any function $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we follow Andrianov and, letting $\langle n \rangle = n(n+1)/2$, define the group action for $\gamma \in \text{GSp}_n^+(R)$ by

$$(f|_k \gamma)(\Omega) = \mu(\gamma)^{kn - \langle n \rangle} \det(C\Omega + D)^{-k} f(\gamma \langle \Omega \rangle).$$

Let Γ be a group commensurable with Γ_n . The complex vector space of Siegel modular forms of degree n and weight k automorphic with respect to Γ is denoted by $M_n^k(\Gamma)$ and is defined as the set of holomorphic $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f|_k \gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and such that for all $Y_0 \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, $f|_k \gamma$ is bounded on $\{\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_n : \text{Im } \Omega > Y_0\}$. For $f \in M_n^k(\Gamma)$ the Siegel Φ -map is defined by $(\Phi f)(\Omega) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} i\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix}\right)$ and the space of cusp forms is defined by $S_n^k(\Gamma) = \{f \in M_n^k(\Gamma) : \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_n, \Phi(f|_k \gamma) = 0\}$. The graded ring of Siegel modular forms is $M_n(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_k M_n^k(\Gamma)$ and the graded ideal of cusp forms is $S_n(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_k S_n^k(\Gamma)$.

Let $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$. By the Koecher principle, an $f \in S_n^k(\Gamma)$ has a Fourier expansion

$$f(\Omega) = \sum a(T; f) e(\langle T, \Omega \rangle), \text{ also written } \text{FS}_n(f) = \sum a(T; f) q^T,$$

where the summation is over $T \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{Q})$. The $a(T; f)$ satisfy $a(T[U]; f) = \det(U)^k a(T; f)$ for all $U \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $u(U) \in \Gamma$. We let $\text{supp}(f) = \{T \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{Q}) : a(T; f) \neq 0\}$. The set $\text{supp}(f)$ is contained in the lattice dual to $\{S \in V_n(\mathbb{Q}) : t(S) \in \Gamma\}$. The integrality properties of $\text{supp}(f)$ are sometimes important. For $f \in S_n^k(\Gamma_0(N))$, we have $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_n$ and $a(T[U]; f) = \det(U)^k a(T; f)$ for all $U \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Let ${}^N\mathcal{X}_2 = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X}_2 : N|a\}$ and ${}^N\mathcal{X}_2^{\text{semi}} = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X}_2^{\text{semi}} : N|a\}$. For $f \in S_2^k(K(N))$, we have $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq {}^N\mathcal{X}_2$ and $a(T[U]; f) = \det(U)^k a(T; f)$ for all $U \in \hat{\Gamma}_0(N)$, where $\hat{\Gamma}_0(N) = \langle \Gamma_0(N), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$. The paramodular groups satisfy $\text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Q}) = K(p)\Delta_2(\mathbb{Q})$ so that there is essentially only one Fourier expansion to consider. For primes p , we have $\text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Q}) = \Gamma_0(p)\Delta_2(\mathbb{Q}) \cup \Gamma_0(p)E_1\Delta_2(\mathbb{Q}) \cup \Gamma_0(p)J_2\Delta_2(\mathbb{Q})$ with $E_1 = I_2 \oplus J_1$, so that there are 3 basic Fourier expansions to consider for $\Gamma_0(p)$.

§3. Paramodular Forms.

For weights $k \geq 5$, the dimensions $\dim S_2^k(K(p))$ have been given in [11]; T. Ibukiyama [14] has recently proven the dimensions for weights $k = 3$ and 4. There are many ways to construct modular forms. The difficulty is knowing when to stop and thus these dimension formulae are powerful.

3.1 Theorem. (T. Ibukiyama) *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime number.*

$$\dim S_2^4(K(p)) = \frac{p^2}{576} + \frac{p}{8} - \frac{143}{576} + \left(\frac{p}{96} - \frac{1}{8}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) + \frac{p}{36} \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)$$

Table 1. Dimensions for weight 4 paramodular cusp forms.

p	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37	41	43	47	53	59	61	67
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))$	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	6	8	7	9	8	10	11	16	17

Since weight one paramodular forms are trivial [25], only the dimensions for weight two remain unknown for prime level. The Paramodular Conjecture is not a dimension formula

but it does provide an accounting for $S_2^2(K(p))$ in terms of isogeny classes of rational abelian varieties.

For a group G , let G_1 and G_2 be subgroups that satisfy the following finiteness condition: $\forall g \in G, |G_1 \backslash G_1 g G_2| < +\infty$. Let $L(G_1, G)$ be the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with a basis given by the left cosets $\cup_{g \in G} \{G_1 g\}$. The subgroup G_2 has a right action $L(G_1, G) \times G_2 \rightarrow L(G_1, G)$ given on basis elements by $(G_1 g, g_2) \mapsto G_1 g g_2$ and extended linearly. Denote the fixed subspace of G_2 by $H(G_1, G, G_2) = \{x \in L(G_1, G) : \forall g_2 \in G_2, x g_2 = x\}$, this is the space of Hecke operators for the triple (G_1, G, G_2) . For a disjoint union $G_1 g G_2 = \cup_i G_1 g_i$, set $[G_1 g G_2] = \sum_i G_1 g_i \in H(G_1, G, G_2)$; then $H(G_1, G, G_2)$ is generated by these double cosets. We may check that the multiplication of Hecke operators $H(G_1, G, G_2) \times H(G_2, G, G_3) \rightarrow H(G_1, G, G_3)$ given by $(\sum_i G_1 g_i, \sum_j G_2 h_j) \mapsto \sum_{i,j} G_1 g_i h_j$ is well-defined. For $G = \mathrm{GSp}_n^+(\mathbb{Q})$ and subgroups G_i commensurable with $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, the necessary finiteness condition is satisfied. We have an action of the Hecke operators on Siegel modular forms $M_n^k(G_1) \times H(G_1, G, G_2) \rightarrow M_n^k(G_2)$ given by $(f, \sum_i G_1 g_i) \mapsto \sum_i f|_k g_i$. Hecke operators send cusp forms to cusp forms because of the factorization $\mathrm{GSp}_n^+(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z}) G \Delta_n^+(\mathbb{Q})$. For $G_1 = G_2$, we have the Hecke algebra $H(G_1, G) = H(G_1, G, G_1)$ acting on $M_n^k(G_1)$. If $w \in G$ normalizes G_1 , then the single coset $G_1 w = [G_1 w G_1]$ is a useful Hecke operator that is often just abbreviated by w . We use $B(N)$ to denote the Iwahori subgroup of $K(N)$,

$$B(N) = \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ N* & * & * & * \\ N* & N* & * & N* \\ N* & N* & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } * \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For a description of the Hecke operators for the group $B(p)$, we refer to [10] and list the results here for the reader's convenience. The Hecke operator T_m is defined by the double coset $\{\gamma \in \mathrm{GSp}_n^+(\mathbb{Z}) : \mu(\gamma) = m\}$. For $f \in M_2^k(B(p))$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X}_2$ we have:

$$a\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}; f|T_{q^\delta}\right) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}: \alpha + \beta + \gamma = \delta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0} \sum_{u \in R(q^\beta): a_u \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{\beta+\gamma}}; b_u \equiv c_u \equiv 0 \pmod{q^\gamma}} a\left(q^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} a_u q^{-\beta-\gamma} & b_u q^{-\gamma/2} \\ b_u q^{-\gamma/2} & c_u q^{\beta-\gamma} \end{pmatrix}; f\right),$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a_u & b_u/2 \\ b_u/2 & c_u \end{pmatrix} = u' \begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix} u$ and $R(q^\beta) \subseteq \Gamma_0(p)$ is any lift of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}/q^\beta \mathbb{Z})$ under the map $\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & v_1 \\ u_2 & v_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (u_1, u_2)$. The operator T_q , for $(q, p) = 1$, is then given by $a(T; f|T_q) =$

$$a(qT; f) + q^{2k-3} a\left(\frac{1}{q}T; f\right) + q^{k-2} \sum_{j \pmod{q}} a\left(\frac{1}{q}T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jp & q \end{bmatrix}; f\right) + q^{k-2} a\left(\frac{1}{q}T \begin{bmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; f\right).$$

The same formulas apply to $f \in M_2^k(K(p))$ because the number of single cosets in the double coset is the same. For an eigenform f , with eigenvalues $f|T(q^\delta) = \lambda_{q^\delta} f$, we use the

spinor Euler factor $Q_q(f; x)$ given for a q prime to the level by (this is the palandrome of the factor in [10]):

$$Q_q(f, x) = 1 - \lambda_q x + (\lambda_q^2 - \lambda_{q^2} - q^{2k-4})x^2 - \lambda_q q^{2k-3}x^3 + q^{4k-6}x^4.$$

Following the work of Andrianov [1], the spinor L -function is given, for $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$, by

$$L(f, s, \text{spin}) = \prod_{\text{primes } q} Q_q(f, q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Theta series give us modular forms on $\Gamma_0(p)$ and we can use the Hecke operator Tr , given below, to obtain modular forms on $K(p)$. In weight two these theta series trace to zero but for weights $k > 2$ we can use this method to construct paramodular forms. For even weights, this is the only method we have that constructs paramodular forms in the minus space. The plus and minus spaces of $S_2^k(K(p))$ are defined as follows. Define elements μ and $\tilde{\mu}$ as below. We note that μ normalizes $K(p)$; since $\mu^2 = -I_4$ the space $S_2^k(K(p))$ decomposes into μ -eigenspaces with eigenvalues ± 1 and we set $S_2^k(K(p))^\pm = \{f \in S_2^k(K(p)) : f|\mu = \pm f\}$.

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \tilde{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{p} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\Gamma_0(N)$ is not a subgroup of $K(N)$; we let $\Gamma'_0(N) = K(N) \cap \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

3.2 Theorem. *Let the following double cosets define Hecke operators:*

$$\begin{aligned} [B(p)\Gamma'_0(p)] : M_2^k(B(p)) &\rightarrow M_2^k(\Gamma'_0(p)) \text{ and} \\ [\Gamma'_0(p)K(p)] : M_2^k(\Gamma'_0(p)) &\rightarrow M_2^k(K(p)). \end{aligned}$$

We define $\text{Tr} : M_2^k(\Gamma_0(p)) \rightarrow M_2^k(K(p))$ by $\text{Tr} = |_{M_2^k(\Gamma_0(p))} [B(p)\Gamma'_0(p)] [\Gamma'_0(p)K(p)]$. For all $f \in M_2^k(\Gamma_0(p))$, the Hecke operator Tr satisfies $f|\text{Tr} =$

$$\sum_{\beta \bmod p} f|t\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + (f|E_1)|\tilde{\mu} + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \bmod p} (f|E_1)|t\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha \bmod p} (f|F_p)|\mu t\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, we have $F_p \text{Tr} = \text{Tr} \mu$ as Hecke operators in $H(\Gamma_0(p), \text{GSp}_n^+(\mathbb{Q}), K(p))$.

Proof. From [10] we have $\Gamma'_0(p) = B(p) \cup B(p)s_2B(p)$ where

$$s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A calculation shows that

$$s_2^{-1}B(p)s_2 \cap B(p) = \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ p* & * & * & p* \\ p* & p* & * & p* \\ p* & p* & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } * \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus we have

$$B(p) = \bigcup_{\alpha \bmod p} (s_2^{-1}B(p)s_2 \cap B(p)) t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \text{ and hence} \\ B(p)s_2B(p) = \bigcup_{\alpha \bmod p} B(p)s_2 t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $[B(p)\Gamma'_0(p)] = B(p) + \sum_{\alpha \bmod p} B(p)s_2 t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ as a Hecke operator. For the coset $\Gamma'_0(p) \backslash K(p)$, it follows close upon the definitions that

$$K(p) = \Gamma'_0(p)J(p) \cup \bigcup_{\beta \bmod p} \Gamma'_0(p)t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with the notation } J(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence that $[\Gamma'_0(p)K(p)] = \Gamma'_0(p)J(p) + \sum_{\beta \bmod p} \Gamma'_0(p)t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as a Hecke operator. By the definition of multiplication of Hecke operators we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} &= \Gamma_0(p) \left(I + \sum_{\alpha \bmod p} s_2 t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right) \left(J(p) + \sum_{\beta \bmod p} t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \Gamma_0(p) \left(J(p) + \sum_{\beta \bmod p} t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha \bmod p} s_2 t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} J(p) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \bmod p} s_2 t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma_0(p)$ has three cusps, which we represent by I , $E_1 = s_2^{-1}$ and F_p . After determining the cusp, we select a simple upper triangular element for the $\Gamma_0(p)$ -coset. We have

$$J(p) = u \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_p \mu \in \Gamma_0(p) F_p \mu.$$

For $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, there is a $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha\tau + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and we have

$$s_2 t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} J(p) \in \Gamma_0(p) F_p \mu t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \alpha\tau + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

For $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have $s_2 J(p) \in \Gamma_0(p) E_1 \tilde{\mu}$. If we note $s_2 \in \Gamma_0(p) E_1$, then the formula given for Tr follows.

Now we show $F_p \text{Tr} = \text{Tr} \mu$. Using the identity $\mu t\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{smallmatrix}\right) = t\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mu$ we can see that the I_4 and F_p cusps swap:

$$F_p \left(\Gamma_0(p) \sum_{\beta \bmod p} t\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \right) = \Gamma_0(p) F_p \mu \sum_{\beta \bmod p} \mu t\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\Gamma_0(p) F_p \mu \sum_{\beta \bmod p} t\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{smallmatrix}\right) \right) \mu.$$

To see that the E_1 cusp is stabilized, note that $F_p E_1 = -u\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) E_1 \mu \in \Gamma_0(p) E_1 \mu$ and therefore we have $F_p \Gamma_0(p) E_1 t\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \Gamma_0(p) E_1 \mu t\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \Gamma_0(p) E_1 t\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mu$. Furthermore, we have $F_p \Gamma_0(p) E_1 \tilde{\mu} = \Gamma_0(p) E_1 \mu \tilde{\mu} = \Gamma_0(p) E_1 \tilde{\mu} \mu$. $\square$

In order to use the formula for Tr in Theorem 3.2 to provide Fourier expansions of paramodular forms, we must be able to expand a theta series at each of the three cusps: I_4 , E_1 and J_2 . General formulas from Andrianov [1], Prop 3.14 may be simplified to give the following results.

3.3 Theorem. *Let $k, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Let Q be a $2k$ -by- $2k$ even quadratic form with qQ^{-1} even. Let $N(Q) = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{2k}/q\mathbb{Z}^{2k} : Qh = 0 \bmod q\}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ -nullspace of Q . Define $\vartheta^Q[T] : \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $T \in \mathbb{Z}^{2k \times g}/q\mathbb{Z}^{2k \times g}$ by*

$$\vartheta^Q[T](\Omega) = \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}^{2k \times g}} e\left(\frac{1}{2} \langle Q[N + \frac{1}{q}T], \Omega \rangle\right).$$

For $g = 2$, we have the following expansions at the other two cusps,

$$\vartheta^Q|_{E_1} = i^k \det(Q)^{-1/2} \sum_{h \in N(Q)} \vartheta^Q[0, h]$$

$$\vartheta^Q|_{F_q} = (-1)^k \det(Q)^{-1} q^k \vartheta^{qQ^*}.$$

Alternatively, we may use

$$\vartheta^Q|_{J_2} = \det(Q)^{-1} \sum_{a, b \in N(Q)} \vartheta^Q[a, b].$$

For small weights, in order to find the linear combinations of the $\vartheta^Q| \text{Tr}$ that are cusp forms, it suffices to cancel the constant term. To explain this we need the following Lemma.

3.4 Lemma. *The Witt map $W : M_2(K(N)) \rightarrow M_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \otimes M_1$, given by $(Wf)(\tau_1, \tau_2) = f\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, is a weight preserving homomorphism of graded rings.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1} \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq K(N)$. $\square$

Note that for $f \in M_2^k(K(N))$, the vanishing of Wf immediately implies the vanishing of Φf . Furthermore, for $k < 12$, the nontrivial M_1^k are spanned by a single Eisenstein series and so Wf vanishes precisely when the Fourier expansion of f has a constant term of zero. We will use this fact to construct paramodular cusp forms of weight four.

The trace Tr from $M_2(\Gamma_0(p))$ to $M_2(K(p))$ cannot be used on theta series to construct weight two forms. Indeed, Tr is identically zero on theta series in $M_2^2(\Gamma_0(p))$.

3.5 Theorem. *Let p be an odd prime. Let Q be a 4-by-4 even quadratic form of level p and square determinant. In degree two we have $\vartheta^Q | \text{Tr} = 0$.*

Since $M_2^2(K(2)) = \{0\}$, the above Theorem also holds for $p = 2$, see [12]. The remainder of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 3.5 and its consequences.

3.6 Lemma. *Let p be an odd prime. Let Q be a 4-by-4 even quadratic form of level p and square determinant. Then $\det(Q) = p^2$, the Hasse invariant of Q is $(-1, -1)$ and Q is equivalent over $\mathbb{Q}$ to $\text{Diag}(1, u, p, up)$, where the residue class of u in $\mathbb{F}_p$ is a nonsquare unit. Furthermore, Q has the property*

$$(3.7) \quad \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}^4, \quad b'Qb \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \iff b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. This is elementary, compare page 152 of [19].

The notion of twinning is used in the proof of Theorem 3.5. Recall the Fricke involution in degree one: $F_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

3.8 Definition. *For $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, define $\text{Twin}(T) = F_p' T F_p = \begin{pmatrix} pc & -b \\ -b & \frac{a}{p} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Q})$.*

Notice that twinning stabilizes ${}^p\mathcal{X}_2$ and respects the $\Gamma_0(p)$ -equivalence class of T . Twins do have the same determinant but the $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes may differ. For example, $T = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 5 \\ 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \in {}^5\mathcal{X}_2$ has $\text{Twin}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 5 \\ 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in {}^5\mathcal{X}_2$ but $T = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 5 \\ 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \in \left[\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right]$ whereas $\text{Twin}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 5 \\ 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in \left[\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 8 \end{pmatrix}\right]$. The following elements twin and rescale the Fourier coefficients of a Siegel modular form:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \tilde{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{p} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \kappa = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{p} & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.9 Lemma. *Let $f \in M_2^k(\Gamma)$ have Fourier coefficients $a(T; f)$.*

$$\begin{aligned} a(T; f|\mu) &= a(\text{Twin}(T); f), & \text{supp}(f|\mu) &= \text{Twin}(\text{supp}(f)), \\ a(T; f|\tilde{\mu}) &= p^k a\left(\frac{1}{p} \text{Twin}(T); f\right), & \text{supp}(f|\tilde{\mu}) &= p \text{Twin}(\text{supp}(f)), \\ a(T; f|\kappa) &= p^{-k} a(p \text{Twin}(T); f), & \text{supp}(f|\kappa) &= \frac{1}{p} \text{Twin}(\text{supp}(f)). \end{aligned}$$

If f has μ -eigenvalue ± 1 , then $a(\text{Twin}(T); f) = \pm a(T; f)$, so that the μ -eigenspace is determined by the twins. It is useful to define a type of projection to ${}^p\mathcal{X}_2$.

3.10 Definition. Define $\pi : M_2(\Gamma(p)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ by: If $f(\Omega) = \sum_{T \in \frac{1}{p}\mathcal{X}_2^{\text{semi}}} a(T)e(\langle \Omega, T \rangle)$ then $(\pi f)(\Omega) = \sum_{T \in {}^p\mathcal{X}_2^{\text{semi}}} a(T)e(\langle \Omega, T \rangle)$.

For $f \in M_2(\Gamma_0(p))$, the four terms in $f| \text{Tr}$,

$$\sum_{\beta \bmod p} f|t\left(\frac{\beta}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ 0\right) + (f|E_1)|\tilde{\mu} + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \bmod p} (f|E_1)|t\left(\frac{\beta}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ \alpha\right) + \sum_{\alpha \bmod p} (f|F_p)|\mu t\left(\frac{0}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ \alpha\right),$$

have the following images under π :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \left(\sum_{\beta \bmod p} f|t\left(\frac{\beta}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ 0\right) \right) &= p \pi(f), & \pi(f|E_1)\tilde{\mu} &= f|E_1\tilde{\mu}, \\ \pi \left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta \bmod p} (f|E_1)|t\left(\frac{\beta}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ \alpha\right) \right) &= p^2 \pi(f|E_1), & \pi \left(\sum_{\alpha \bmod p} (f|F_p)|\mu t\left(\frac{0}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ \alpha\right) \right) &= p \pi(f|F_p\mu). \end{aligned}$$

We will see that, when applied to weight two theta series, the sum of the first and third terms, and the the sum of the second and fourth terms, cancel separately.

3.11 Lemma. Let p be an odd prime. Let Q be a 4-by-4 even quadratic form of level p and square determinant. For $b \in N(Q)$, $\pi(\vartheta^Q[0, b]) = 0$ unless $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We have

$$\pi(\vartheta^Q|E_1) = -\frac{1}{p}\pi(\vartheta^Q) \text{ and } \sum_{\beta \bmod p} \vartheta^Q|t\left(\frac{\beta}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ 0\right) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \bmod p} (\vartheta^Q|E_1)|t\left(\frac{\beta}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ \alpha\right) = 0.$$

Proof. We have $\vartheta^Q[0, b](\Omega) = \sum_{c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d + b/p \end{pmatrix}, Q \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d + b/p \end{pmatrix}, \Omega \right\rangle\right)$. We may write this as $\vartheta^Q[0, b](\Omega) = \sum_{c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle T, \Omega \rangle\right)$ for

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} c'Qc & c'Qd + \frac{1}{p}b'Qc \\ c'Qd + \frac{1}{p}b'Qc & d'Qd + \frac{2}{p}b'Qd + \frac{1}{p^2}b'Qb \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $b \in N(Q)$ and $T \in {}^p\mathcal{X}_2$ then $b'Qb \in p^2\mathbb{Z}$ and so by property 3.7 we have $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

For the second part, notice $\vartheta^Q|E_1 = -\frac{1}{p}\sum_{b \in N(Q)} \vartheta^Q[0, b]$ by Theorem 3.3 so that we have $\pi(\vartheta^Q|E_1) = -\frac{1}{p}\pi(\vartheta^Q)$. To prove the third part, notice that $\sum_{\beta \bmod p} \vartheta^Q|t\left(\frac{\beta}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ 0\right) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \bmod p} (\vartheta^Q|E_1)|t\left(\frac{\beta}{p} \ 0 \atop 0 \ \alpha\right)$ is already supported on ${}^p\mathcal{X}_2$. Therefore, it is equal to its projection, which is $p\pi(\vartheta^Q) + p^2\pi(\vartheta^Q|E_1) = p\pi(\vartheta^Q) + p^2\left(-\frac{1}{p}\pi(\vartheta^Q)\right) = 0$. $\square$

3.12 Lemma. *Let p be an odd prime. Let Q be a 4-by-4 even quadratic form of level p and square determinant. For $a, b \in N(Q)$, $\pi(\vartheta^Q[a, b]|\tilde{\mu}) = 0$ unless $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We have*

$$\pi(\vartheta^Q|_{F_p\mu}) = -\frac{1}{p}\pi(\vartheta^Q|_{E_1}\tilde{\mu}) \text{ and } (\vartheta^Q|_{E_1})|\tilde{\mu} + \sum_{\alpha \pmod{p}} (\vartheta^Q|_{F_p})|\mu t\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Proof. We have $\vartheta^Q[a, b](\Omega) = \sum_{c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} c + a/p \\ d + b/p \end{pmatrix}, Q \begin{pmatrix} c + a/p \\ d + b/p \end{pmatrix}, \Omega \right\rangle\right)$. We may write this as $\vartheta^Q[a, b](\Omega) = \sum_{c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle T, \Omega \rangle\right)$ for

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} c'Qc + \frac{2}{p}a'Qc + \frac{1}{p^2}a'Qa & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $\pi(\vartheta^Q[a, b]|\tilde{\mu}) = 0$ unless $\text{supp}(\vartheta^Q[a, b]|\tilde{\mu}) \cap {}^p\mathcal{X}_2$ is nonempty. However, since $\text{supp}(\vartheta^Q[a, b]|\tilde{\mu}) = p \text{Twinsupp}(\vartheta^Q[a, b])$, this is equivalent to $T \in \text{supp}(\vartheta^Q[a, b]) \cap \frac{1}{p}({}^p\mathcal{X}_2)$. From $a \in N(Q)$ and $T \in \frac{1}{p}({}^p\mathcal{X}_2)$ we derive $a'Qa \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. By property 3.7 we have $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

For the second part, notice $\vartheta^Q|_{F_p\mu} = \vartheta^Q|_{J_2\tilde{\mu}} = \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{a, b \in N(Q)} \vartheta^Q[a, b]|\tilde{\mu}$ by Theorem 3.3. We have $\pi(\vartheta^Q|_{F_p\mu}) = \frac{1}{p^2}\pi\left(\sum_{a, b \in N(Q)} \vartheta^Q[a, b]|\tilde{\mu}\right) = \frac{1}{p^2}\pi\left(\sum_{b \in N(Q)} \vartheta^Q[0, b]|\tilde{\mu}\right)$ by the first part. Recognizing the formula for $\vartheta^Q|_{E_1}$ from Theorem 3.3, we may conclude that $\pi(\vartheta^Q|_{F_p\mu}) = \frac{1}{p^2}\pi(-p\vartheta^Q|_{E_1}\tilde{\mu}) = -\frac{1}{p}\pi(\vartheta^Q|_{E_1}\tilde{\mu})$.

To prove the third part, notice that $(\vartheta^Q|_{E_1})|\tilde{\mu} + \sum_{\alpha \pmod{p}} (\vartheta^Q|_{F_p})|\mu t\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ is already supported on ${}^p\mathcal{X}_2$. Therefore, it is equal to its projection, which is $\pi(\vartheta^Q|_{E_1}\tilde{\mu}) + p\pi(\vartheta^Q|_{F_p\mu}) = \pi(\vartheta^Q|_{E_1}\tilde{\mu}) + p\left(-\frac{1}{p}\pi(\vartheta^Q|_{E_1}\tilde{\mu})\right) = 0$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.5. We add the final conclusions from Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12. $\square$

Here is a consequence of Theorem 3.5 that is useful for proving the integrality of modular forms constructed by theta tracing.

Theorem 3.13. *Let p be an odd prime. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ be even with determinant p^2 and pA^{-1}, pB^{-1} both even. The Fourier coefficients of $\text{Tr}(\vartheta^Q)$ are multiples of 4.*

Proof. Let $Q = A \oplus B$, an 8×8 matrix. First, by the formulas in 3.2, 3.3 and 3.9, the constant term of $\text{Tr}(\vartheta^Q)$ is $p + p^4/p^2 + p^2/p^2 + p = (p+1)^2$ which is a multiple of 4. We now show that $a(T; \text{Tr}(\vartheta^Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for the nonzero $T \in {}^p\mathcal{X}_2$. By Theorem 3.5, we have that $\text{Tr}(\vartheta^A) = 0 = \text{Tr}(\vartheta^B)$. For $f = \vartheta^Q - \vartheta^A - \vartheta^B$ and $g = \text{Tr}(f)$, it suffices to prove that $a(T; g) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We have

$$a(T; g) = a(T; \text{Tr}(f)) = p a(T; f) + a(T; f|_{E_1}\tilde{\mu}) + p^2 a(T; f|_{E_1}) + p a(T; f|_{F_p\mu}).$$

We will show that the Fourier coefficients of each of the four terms above, treated individually, are multiples of 4. When using the slash operator, it must be remembered that

$f \in M_2^2(K(p)) \oplus M_2^4(K(p))$ is a sum of forms of different weights. Considering the first term, we wish to show that

$$p a(T; \vartheta^Q) - p a(T; \vartheta^A) - p a(T; \vartheta^B) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} a(T; \vartheta^Q) &= \#\{w \in \mathbb{Z}^{8 \times 2} : w' Q w = 2T\} = \#\{(u, v) : u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^{4 \times 2}, u' A u + v' B v = 2T\}, \\ a(T; \vartheta^A) &= \#\{u \in \mathbb{Z}^{4 \times 2} : u' A u = 2T\}, \\ a(T; \vartheta^B) &= \#\{v \in \mathbb{Z}^{4 \times 2} : v' B v = 2T\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using that T is nonzero,

$$a(T; \vartheta^Q) - a(T; \vartheta^A) - a(T; \vartheta^B) = \#\{(u, v) : u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^{4 \times 2}, u \neq 0, v \neq 0, u' A u + v' B v = 2T\}.$$

This set can be partitioned into subsets of 4 elements each of the form $\{\pm u, \pm v\}$ because the u, v are nonzero. This proves that the above number is a multiple of 4. Now consider the second term. We wish to show that

$$a(T; \vartheta^Q|E_1 \tilde{\mu}) - a(T; \vartheta^A|E_1 \tilde{\mu}) - a(T; \vartheta^B|E_1 \tilde{\mu}) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Denoting $S = \frac{1}{p} \text{Twin}(T)$, the lefthand side is

$$p^4 a(S; \vartheta^Q|E_1) - p^2 a(S; \vartheta^A|E_1) - p^2 a(S; \vartheta^B|E_1).$$

Applying formula 3.3 for slashing with E_1 , this becomes

$$\frac{p^4}{p^2} a(S; \sum_{\beta \in N(Q)} \vartheta^Q[0, \beta]) + \frac{p^2}{p} a(S; \sum_{h \in N(A)} \vartheta^A[0, h]) + \frac{p^2}{p} a(S; \sum_{\ell \in N(B)} \vartheta^B[0, \ell]).$$

Consider $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \ell \end{pmatrix} \in N(Q) = N(A \oplus B)$. We break the above sum into four parts:

- (a) $\sum \{p^2 a(S; \vartheta^Q[0, \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \ell \end{pmatrix}]) : \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \ell \end{pmatrix} \in N(Q), h \neq 0, \ell \neq 0\}$
- (b) $+ \sum \{p^2 a(S; \vartheta^Q[0, \begin{pmatrix} h \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}]) + p a(S; \vartheta^A[0, h]) : h \in N(A), h \neq 0\}$
- (c) $+ \sum \{p^2 a(S; \vartheta^Q[0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \ell \end{pmatrix}]) + p a(S; \vartheta^B[0, \ell]) : \ell \in N(B), \ell \neq 0\}$
- (d) $+ p^2 a(S; \vartheta^Q[0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}]) + p a(S; \vartheta^A[0, 0]) + p a(S; \vartheta^B[0, 0]).$

We show parts (a) to (d) individually are 0 modulo 4. Part (a) is

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 \#\{(u, v, h, \ell) : u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^4, \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \ell \end{pmatrix} \in N(Q), h \neq 0, \ell \neq 0, \\ (u + h/p)' A(u + h/p) + (v + \ell/p)' B(v + \ell/p) = 2S\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can partition these (u, v, h, ℓ) into subsets of the form

$$\{(u, v, h, \ell), (u, -v, h, -\ell), (-u, v, -h, \ell), (-u, -v, -h, -\ell)\}.$$

Because p is odd, we have $h \not\equiv -h \pmod{p}$ and $\ell \not\equiv -\ell \pmod{p}$ for nonzero h, ℓ . Thus these subsets always have 4 distinct elements and this proves that Part (a) is a multiple of 4. To analyze Part (b), note that $\binom{h}{0} \in N(Q)$ if and only if $h \in N(A)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \{a(S; \vartheta^Q[0, \binom{h}{0}]) : h \in N(A), h \neq 0\} = \\ & \# \{(u, v, h) : u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^4, h \in N(A), h \neq 0, (u + h/p)'A(u + h/p) + v'Bv = 2S\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can put these (u, v, h) into equivalence classes of the form

$$\{(u, v, h), (u, -v, h), (-u, v, -h), (-u, -v, -h)\}.$$

These classes will have four elements unless $v = 0$. So modulo 4, we can ignore all but the case where $v = 0$, and thus modulo 4, Part (b) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum p^2 \# \{(u, h) : u \in \mathbb{Z}^4, (u + h/p)'A(u + h/p) = 2S\} + \\ & \sum \{p a(S; \vartheta^A[0, h]) : h \in N(A), h \neq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

But this is equal to

$$\sum \{(p^2 + p) \# \{(u, h) : u \in \mathbb{Z}^4, (u + h/p)'A(u + h/p) = 2S\} : h \in N(A), h \neq 0\}.$$

Because we can pair $\pm(u, h)$, $\# \{(u, h) : u \in \mathbb{Z}^4, (u + h/p)'A(u + h/p) = 2S\}$ is an even number; since $p^2 + p$ is also even, the above is a multiple of 4. Thus Part (b) is a multiple of 4. Similarly, Part (c) is a multiple of 4. Finally, Part (d) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & p^2 (a(S; \vartheta^Q[0, \binom{0}{0}]) - a(S; \vartheta^A[0, 0]) - a(S; \vartheta^B[0, 0])) \\ & + (p^2 + p) a(S; \vartheta^A[0, 0]) + (p^2 + p) a(S; \vartheta^B[0, 0]). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first line is a multiple of 4 by the exact argument as for the first term. The second line is a multiple of 4 because $a(S; \vartheta^A[0, 0])$ and $a(S; \vartheta^B[0, 0])$ are even because S is nonzero. This proves the second term is 0 modulo 4. The third term is

$$p^2 a(T; \vartheta^Q|E_1) - p^2 a(T; \vartheta^A|E_1) - p^2 a(T; \vartheta^B|E_1),$$

and the same argument used for the second term will show that this is also 0 modulo 4. The fourth term can be rewritten as

$$p a(T; \vartheta^{pQ^*}|\mu) - p a(T; \vartheta^{pA^*}|\mu) - p a(T; \vartheta^{pB^*}|\mu).$$

Noting that $pQ^* = (pA^*) \oplus (pB^*)$, this is

$$p a(S; \vartheta^{(pA^*) \oplus (pB^*)}) - p a(S; \vartheta^{pA^*}) - p a(S; \vartheta^{pB^*}).$$

for $S = \text{Twin}(T)$. The same argument used for the first term shows that this is a multiple of 4. Having shown all four terms are 0 modulo 4, we conclude that $a(T; g) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. $\square$

Theorem 3.14. *Let $g \in S_2^k(K(N))(\mathbb{Z})$ for $k \geq 2$. Let T_q be the Hecke operator for a fixed prime q . Then the following congruence holds:*

$$T_q(g^q) \equiv g \pmod{q}.$$

Furthermore, if $\phi \in J_{qk,N}^{\text{cusp}}$ is the first Fourier Jacobi coefficient of $T_q(g^q)$ and $\psi \in J_{k,N}^{\text{cusp}}(\mathbb{Z})$ that of g , then $\text{Grit}(\psi) \equiv \text{Grit}(\phi) \pmod{q}$.

Proof. Take any $T \in {}^N\mathcal{X}_2$. Then we have

$$a(qT; g^q) = \sum_{s_i \in {}^N\mathcal{X}_2: s_1 + \dots + s_q = qT} a(s_1; g) \cdots a(s_q; g).$$

Since q is prime, unless $s_1 = \dots = s_q$, then there are a multiple of q nontrivial ways to permute the $s_1, \dots, s_q$. Thus modulo q , we have $a(qT; g^q) \equiv a(T; g)^q \pmod{q}$. From Fermat's congruence $x^q \equiv x \pmod{q}$ for any integer x , we have $a(qT; g^q) \equiv a(T; g) \pmod{q}$. Next, in the formula for any cusp form given in this section

$$a(T; T_q(f)) = a(qT; f) + \text{terms with coefficients that are positive powers of } q,$$

as long as f has weight at least 3. Here, the weight of g^q is at least 4, so we can conclude $a(T; T_q(g^q)) \equiv a(qT; g^q) \pmod{q}$. Thus $a(T; T_q(g^q)) \equiv a(T; g) \pmod{q}$ for all $T \in {}^N\mathcal{X}_2$. Hence we have the first assertion $T_q(g^q) \equiv g \pmod{q}$.

Letting $\phi \in J_{qk,N}^{\text{cusp}}$ be the first Fourier-Jacobi coefficient of $T_q(g^q)$, then ϕ is congruent modulo q to the first Fourier Jacobi coefficient of g ; that is, $\phi \equiv \psi \pmod{q}$. Now, if $T = \begin{pmatrix} mN & r/2 \\ r/2 & n \end{pmatrix} \in {}^N\mathcal{X}_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a(T; \text{Grit}(\phi)) &= \sum_{\delta | (n, rm)} \delta^{qk-1} c\left(\frac{mn}{\delta^2}, \frac{r}{\delta}; \phi\right), \\ a(T; \text{Grit}(\psi)) &= \sum_{\delta | (n, rm)} \delta^{k-1} c\left(\frac{mn}{\delta^2}, \frac{r}{\delta}; \psi\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta^{qk} \equiv \delta^k \pmod{q}$ and since $c(\frac{mn}{\delta^2}, \frac{r}{\delta}; \phi) \equiv c(\frac{mn}{\delta^2}, \frac{r}{\delta}; \psi) \pmod{q}$, we may conclude $a(T; \text{Grit}(\phi)) \equiv a(T; \text{Grit}(\psi)) \pmod{q}$; that is, $\text{Grit}(\phi) \equiv \text{Grit}(\psi) \pmod{q}$. $\square$

§4. Jacobi Forms.

The Gritsenko lift constructs paramodular forms from Jacobi forms and so we need to compute spaces of Jacobi forms. The basic reference for Jacobi forms is the book of Eichler and Zagier [6]. The weight 2 Jacobi forms in this article were originally computed from weight 3/2 modular forms on $\Gamma_0(4p)$. A more appealing technique, however, is the method of theta blocks, which seems to work very well for low weight. We thank N. Skoruppa, see [27], for explaining to us his joint work with V. Gritsenko and D. Zagier on theta blocks.

The following subgroup $\Gamma_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ stabilizes the Fourier-Jacobi expansion of a level one Siegel modular form term by term and this gives some motivation for the definition of Jacobi forms.

$$\Gamma_\infty(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } * \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

To admit half-integral weights in the following definition, we require that $\mu(\gamma, \Omega) = \chi(\gamma) \det(C\Omega + D)^k$ be a factor of automorphy on $\Gamma_\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathcal{H}_2$, compare [8]. We write $q = e(\tau)$ and $\zeta = e(z)$.

Definition 4.1. *A level one Jacobi form of weight $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, index $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ and multiplier $\chi : \Gamma_\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, denoted $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\chi)$, is a holomorphic map $\phi : \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $(\tau, z) \mapsto \phi(\tau, z)$ such that, if $\tilde{\phi} : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $\tilde{\phi}(\Omega) = \phi(\tau, z)e(m\omega)$ for $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & z \\ z & \omega \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then we have*

- 1.) $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma_\infty(\mathbb{Z}), \tilde{\phi}|_k \gamma = \chi(\gamma) \tilde{\phi}$, and
- 2.) $\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r$, where $c(n, r) = 0$ unless $4mn - r^2 \geq 0$.

If $c(n, r) = 0$ unless $4mn - r^2 > 0$ then ϕ is called a *cuspidal* form and we write $\phi \in J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{cusp}}(\chi)$. In [6, pp. 121, 131-132] we can find dimension formulae for Jacobi forms. We thank N. Skoruppa for rewriting these for us.

Theorem 4.2. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $\{\{k\}\} = \dim S_1^k$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\sigma_0(m)$ be the number of positive divisors of m . Let $\delta(k, m)$ be zero unless $k = 2$ and let $\delta(2, m) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_0(m) - 1$ for nonsquare m and $\delta(2, m) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_0(m) - \frac{1}{2}$ for square m .*

$$\text{For even } k \geq 2, \quad \dim J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{cusp}} = \delta(k, m) + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\{\{k + 2j\}\} - \left\lfloor \frac{j^2}{4m} \right\rfloor \right).$$

$$\text{For odd } k \geq 3, \quad \dim J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{cusp}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(\{\{k + 2j - 1\}\} - \left\lfloor \frac{j^2}{4m} \right\rfloor \right).$$

Table 2. Dimensions for Jacobi cusp forms of weight 2 and index p .

p	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37	41	43	47	53	59	61	67
$\dim J_{2,p}^{\mathrm{cusp}}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2

The Fourier expansions of theta blocks can be computed from the Dedekind eta function

$\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - q^n)$ and the Jacobi theta function:

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\tau, z) &= -i\theta\left[\frac{1}{2}\right](z, \tau) = -i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \tau + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{(2n+1)^2}{8}} \zeta^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{8}} \left(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} - \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^{n+1} q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: |j| \leq n-1} \zeta^j \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{8}} \left(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} - \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) (1 - q(\zeta + 1 + \zeta^{-1}) + q^3(\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1 + \zeta^{-1} + \zeta^{-2}) + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

A modular form may be viewed as a Jacobi form of index zero. For example, $\eta \in J_{\frac{1}{2}, 0}(\epsilon)$ with the multiplier $\epsilon : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as in [16, pg. 45]. Since $\eta^{24} = \Delta \in S_1^{12}$ we know that $\epsilon^{24} = 1$. Let $H(\mathbb{Z}) = \{u\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu \\ \mu & \kappa \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_\infty(\mathbb{Z}) : \lambda, \mu, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the integral Heisenberg group. Define the character $v_H : H(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $v_H\left(u\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu \\ \mu & \kappa \end{pmatrix}\right) = (-1)^{\lambda + \mu + \kappa}$. Embed $i_\infty : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ via $i_\infty(\sigma) = \sigma \oplus I_2$. The isomorphism $\Gamma_\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{\pm I_4\} \cong i_\infty(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) \ltimes H(\mathbb{Z})$ allows us to view $\epsilon^a v_H^b$ as a map $\epsilon^a v_H^b : \Gamma_\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ trivial on $\pm I_4$ for any integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we use this shorthand. For the theta function we have:

$$\vartheta \in J_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon^3 v_H).$$

For ϑ_d defined by $\vartheta_d(\tau, z) = \vartheta(\tau, dz)$ we have $\vartheta_d \in J_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{d^2}{2}}(\epsilon^3 v_H^d)$, see [8].

Theorem 4.3. (Gritsenko, Skoruppa, Zagier) *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$ and $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell$. Let $n = \sum_{i=1}^\ell n_i$ for brevity. Define a meromorphic function $\mathrm{THBK}(t, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{d}) : \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by*

$$\mathrm{THBK}(t, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{d})(\tau, z) = \eta(\tau)^t \prod_{i=1}^\ell \vartheta(\tau, d_i z)^{n_i}.$$

We have $\mathrm{THBK}(t, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{d}) \in J_{k, m}^{\mathrm{cusp}}$ if and only if

- (1) $2k = t + n$,
- (2) $2m = \sum_{i=1}^\ell n_i d_i^2$,
- (3) $t + 3n \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$,
- (4) $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i: d|d_i} n_i \geq 0$,
- (5) The function $\frac{k}{12} + \sum_{i=1}^\ell n_i \bar{B}_2(d_i x)$ has a positive minimum on $[0, 1]$. Here $B_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{12}$ and $\bar{B}_2(x) = B_2(x - \llbracket x \rrbracket)$ is the periodic extension of its restriction to $[0, 1]$.

We will only avail ourselves of the simplest cases. For $k = 2$, we always take $t = -6$ and all $n_i = 1$ so that $n = 10$. With this in mind, let:

$$\mathrm{THBK}_2(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{10})(\tau, z) = \eta(\tau)^{-6} \prod_{i=1}^{10} \vartheta(\tau, d_i z).$$

For $k = 4$, a case used only incidentally, we always take $t = 0$ and all $n_i = 1$ so that $n = 8$. With this in mind, let:

$$\text{THBK}_4(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_8)(\tau, z) = \prod_{i=1}^8 \vartheta(\tau, d_i z).$$

In the articles of Gritsenko, see [7], his lift is proved for the group $\Gamma[N] = UK(N)U$ where $U = u\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We restate his results for cusp forms on the paramodular group $K(N)$.

Theorem 4.4. (Gritsenko) *Let $\phi \in J_{k,N}^{\text{cusp}}$ and let $\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n>0, r \in \mathbb{Z}} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r$ be the Fourier expansion. There is a form $\text{Grit}(\phi) \in S_2^k(K(N))$ given by*

$$\text{Grit}(\phi) \begin{pmatrix} \tau & z \\ z & \omega \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n,r,m} \left(\sum_{\delta|(n,r,m)} \delta^{k-1} c\left(\frac{mn}{\delta^2}, \frac{r}{\delta}\right) \right) q^{mN} \zeta^r e(n\omega).$$

For k even, $\text{Grit}(\phi)$ is in the μ -plus space; for k odd, $\text{Grit}(\phi)$ is in the μ -minus space.

Thus, the Fourier coefficients of $\text{Grit}(\phi)$ are:

$$a \begin{pmatrix} mN & r/2 \\ r/2 & n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\delta|(n,r,m)} \delta^{k-1} c\left(\frac{mn}{\delta^2}, \frac{r}{\delta}\right).$$

Additionally, V. Gritsenko and K. Hulek [8] have developed a lift for Jacobi forms with certain characters. The case of a quadratic character will sometimes be useful here. For odd, squarefree $N \in \mathbb{N}$, consider a representation of N as the sum of four squares: $N = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$, for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $\vartheta_a \vartheta_b \vartheta_c \vartheta_d \in J_{2, \frac{1}{2}N}^{\text{cusp}}(\epsilon^{12} v_H)$. The following Theorem shows how to lift such forms to $K(N)$.

Theorem 4.5. (Gritsenko and Hulek) *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a character $\chi_2^{(N)} : K(N) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$. Let $\phi \in J_{k, \frac{1}{2}N}^{\text{cusp}}$ and let $\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}: 2Nn > r^2, n > 0} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r$ be the Fourier expansion. There is a form $\text{Grit}(\phi) \in S_2^k(K(N), \chi_2^{(N)})$ given by*

$$\text{Grit}(\phi) \begin{pmatrix} \tau & z \\ z & \omega \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n,r,m \text{ odd} \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\delta|(n,r,m)} \delta^{k-1} c\left(\frac{mn}{2\delta^2}, \frac{r}{2\delta}\right) \right) q^{\frac{mN}{2}} \zeta^{\frac{r}{2}} e\left(\frac{n}{2}\omega\right).$$

The point is that for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\phi_i \in J_{k_i, \frac{1}{2}N}^{\text{cusp}}$, we have a paramodular form with trivial character $\text{Grit}(\phi_1) \text{Grit}(\phi_2) \in S_2^{k_1+k_2}(K(N))$.

§5. Vanishing Theorems and Congruences.

Computations often require apriori sets of Fourier coefficients that determine linear dependence among Siegel modular forms. We discuss such sets in degree two and prove that some also determine congruences among Fourier coefficients. The forms that index Fourier coefficients are a partially ordered set with no natural linear order. Indeed, the intrinsic measure of the vanishing order of a Fourier series is the closure in $\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R})$ of the convex ray hull of its support. For computational purposes however, ordering the support with a convex function ϕ is a versatile expedient. We review the results of [21] and [22].

Definition 5.1. A function $\phi : \mathcal{P}_n^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is called *type one* if

- (1) For all $s \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\phi(s) > 0$,
- (2) for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $s \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R})$, $\phi(\lambda s) = \lambda \phi(s)$,
- (3) for all $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R})$, $\phi(s_1 + s_2) \geq \phi(s_1) + \phi(s_2)$.

Type one functions are continuous on $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and respect the partial order on $\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R})$. Basic examples are: For $s \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R})$, define

- (1) $m(s) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}} u' s u$, the Minimum function,
- (2) $\tilde{tr}(s) = \inf_{u \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})} \text{tr}(u' s u)$, the reduced trace,
- (3) $\delta(s) = \det(s)^{1/n}$, the reduced determinant,
- (4) $w(s) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\langle u, s \rangle}{m(u)}$, the dyadic trace.

For $n = 2$, the dyadic trace of a Minkowski reduced $s = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is given by $w(s) = a + c - |b|$, see [20]. For $n = 2$, Minkowski reduced means $2|b| \leq a \leq c$.

5.2 Vanishing Theorem. *Let ϕ be type one. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there exists a $c_n(\phi) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that: For any subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_n$ with finite index I and coset decomposition $\Gamma_n = \cup_{i=1}^I \Gamma M_i$, we have*

$$(5.3) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall f \in S_n^k(\Gamma), \quad \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I \inf \phi(\text{supp}(f|M_i)) > c_n(\phi) k \implies f \equiv 0.$$

For $n = 2$, we may take $c_n(\phi) = \inf \phi \left(\left[\frac{1}{30} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \right)$.

Proof. This is Theorem 2.5 from [23], except for the last comment, which is Corollary 5.8 from [21].

We obtain our Vanishing Theorem for automorphic forms on $K(p)$ by viewing them as automorphic with respect to $\Gamma'_0(p) = K(p) \cap \Gamma_2$. To use Theorem 5.2 we need coset representatives $\Gamma_2 = \cup_{i=1}^{(1+p)(1+p^2)} \Gamma'_0(p) Y_i$; these may be found in [9, pg. 71].

5.4 Theorem. (Hashimoto, Ibukiyama) *As a complete set of $1+p+p^2+p^3$ representatives of the coset space $\text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma'_0(p)$ we may take*

$$X_1(a, b, c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & c & 1 & -a \\ c & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad X_2(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ b & a & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$X_3(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad X_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where a, b, c run over the integers modulo p .

It is helpful to abbreviate

$$\kappa = u \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{p} \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{p} & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5.5 Corollary. *A complete set of $1 + p + p^2 + p^3$ coset representatives Y for $\Gamma'_0(p)Y \in \Gamma'_0(p) \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and a representative from $\Delta_2(\mathbb{Q})$ for $K(p)Y$ and a representative, I_4 or κ , for $K(p)Y\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by*

$$X_4^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in K(p) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{p} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq K(p)\kappa\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z});$$

$$X_3(a)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K(p) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{p} & -\frac{a}{p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & -1 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq K(p)\kappa\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where a runs over the integers modulo p ;

$$X_2(a, b)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -a & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in K(p) \begin{pmatrix} -\hat{b}a & -\frac{1}{p} & 0 & \frac{\hat{b}}{p} \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -p \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -\hat{b}a \end{pmatrix} \subseteq K(p)\kappa\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $a, b, \hat{b}$ run over the integers modulo p with $b\hat{b} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$;

$$X_2(a, 0)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -a & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in K(p) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{p} & \hat{a} & 0 & \frac{\hat{a}}{p} \\ 0 & 1 & -\hat{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\hat{a}p & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq K(p)\kappa\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $a, \hat{a}$ run over the integers modulo p with $a\hat{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$;

$$X_2(0, 0)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{Z});$$

$$X_1(a, b, c)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -c & 1 & a \\ -c & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K(p) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{p} & -\frac{\hat{b}c}{p} & \frac{\hat{b}}{p} & \frac{\hat{b}a}{p} \\ 0 & 1 & -a\hat{b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\hat{b}c & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq K(p)\kappa \Delta_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $a, b, \hat{b}, c$ run over the integers modulo p with $b\hat{b} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$;

$$X_1(a, 0, c)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c & 1 & a \\ -c & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K(p) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{p} & \frac{\hat{c}}{p} & \frac{\hat{c}a}{p} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -\hat{c} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -p \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq K(p)\kappa \Delta_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $a, c, \hat{c}$ run over the integers modulo p with $c\hat{c} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$;

$$X_1(a, 0, 0)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where a runs over the integers modulo p .

Proof. First of all, it is the $Y = X_i^{-1}$ from Theorem 5.4 that give left coset representatives. Finally, one can check these assertions directly by taking inverses and multiplying. In the case of $X_2(a, b)^{-1}$, for example, the following element is in $K(p)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -a & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\hat{b}a & -\frac{1}{p} & 0 & \frac{\hat{b}}{p} \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -p \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -\hat{b}a \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -p & a\hat{b} & -\frac{\hat{b}}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ bp & a(1 - b\hat{b}) & \frac{b\hat{b}-1}{p} & 0 \\ ap & -a^2\hat{b} & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, the following element is in $\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{p} & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\hat{b}a & -\frac{1}{p} & 0 & \frac{\hat{b}}{p} \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -p \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -\hat{b}a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a\hat{b} & -1 & 0 & \hat{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a\hat{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

With these coset representatives, we may use Theorem 5.2 to prove:

5.6 Theorem. *Let $f \in S_2^k(K(p))$. Let ϕ be a type one $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -class function. Unless $f \equiv 0$, we have*

$$\inf \phi(\mathrm{supp}(f)) + p \inf \phi(\mathrm{supp}(f|\mu)) \leq \phi\left(\frac{1}{30} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}\right) k(1 + p^2).$$

5.7 Corollary. *For nontrivial $f \in S_2^k(K(p))$ we have*

$$\min \delta(\text{supp}(f)) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{15} k \frac{1+p^2}{1+p}.$$

If f is additionally a μ -eigenform then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min w(\text{supp}(f)) &\leq \frac{k}{6} \frac{1+p^2}{1+p}, \\ \min \tilde{tr}(\text{supp}(f)) &\leq \frac{k}{5} \frac{1+p^2}{1+p}, \\ \min m(\text{supp}(f)) &\leq \frac{k}{10} \frac{1+p^2}{1+p}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 5.6 and Corollary 5.7. Let $\Gamma_2 = \cup_{i=1}^I \Gamma'_0(p)Y_i$ with $I = (1+p)(1+p^2)$. For nontrivial f , Theorem 5.2 gives

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I \inf \phi(\text{supp}(f|Y_i)) \leq c_2(\phi) k.$$

For each i we have $Y_i \in K(p)\kappa^{\epsilon_i} \begin{pmatrix} u_i & * \\ 0 & u_i^* \end{pmatrix}$ with $u_i \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, with $\epsilon_i = 0$ in $1+p$ cases and with $\epsilon_i = 1$ in $p^2 + p^3$ cases by Corollary 5.5. Since ϕ is a class function and $\text{supp}(f|Y_i) = u_i' \kappa'^{\epsilon_i} \text{supp}(f) \kappa^{\epsilon_i} u_i$, we have $\phi(\text{supp}(f|Y_i)) = \phi(\text{supp}(f|\kappa^{\epsilon_i}))$. When $\epsilon_i = 0$ this is $\phi(\text{supp}(f))$ and when $\epsilon_i = 1$ this is $\phi(\text{supp}(f|\kappa)) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{p} \text{supp}(f|\mu)\right)$ by Lemma 3.9. In view of these two cases, condition 5.8 becomes

$$\frac{1}{I} \left((1+p) \inf \phi(\text{supp}(f)) + (p^2 + p^3) \inf \phi\left(\frac{1}{p} \text{supp}(f|\mu)\right) \right) \leq c_2(\phi) k,$$

which is equivalent to the conclusion of Theorem 5.6.

In the Corollary, we have replaced $\inf$ by $\min$ because the infimum of $\phi(\text{supp}(f|Y_i))$ is attained whenever ϕ has finite shells or takes values in a lattice. If f is a μ -eigenform then $\text{supp}(f|\mu) = \text{supp}(f)$ and the conclusions for w , m and $\tilde{tr}$ follow upon evaluating $w(1/30 \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}) = 1/6$, $\tilde{tr}(1/30 \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}) = 1/5$ and $m(1/30 \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}) = 1/10$. In general, twinning does not change the determinant, so $\delta(\text{supp}(f|\mu)) = \delta(\text{supp}(f))$ and we note that $\delta(1/30 \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}) = \sqrt{2}/15$. $\square$

The goal of this section is to give similar sets of Fourier coefficients that determine congruences. The essential difficulty is at the primes two and three. Results of this type depend upon the work of J. I. Igusa [15]. Let R be a ring. For $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let

$$M_n^k(\Gamma)(A) = \{f \in M_n^k(\Gamma) : \forall T \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{Q}), a(T; f) \in A\}.$$

We see that $M_n^k(\Gamma)(A)$ is an R -module, or $M_n(\Gamma)(A)$ a ring, whenever A is. Further, for a prime ℓ , let $M_n^k(\Gamma)(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ denote the reduction modulo ℓ of the coefficients of the Fourier series from $M_n^k(\Gamma)(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $R_\ell : M_n^k(\Gamma)(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow M_n^k(\Gamma)(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ be the natural reduction map.

Using Igusa's work we can prove the following:

5.9 Theorem. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a number field, $\mathcal{O}$ its ring of integers and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. Let $f \in S_2^k(K(p))(\mathcal{O})$ be a μ -eigenform with $a(T; f) \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all $T \in {}^p\mathcal{X}_2$ satisfying $w(T) \leq \frac{k}{6} \frac{p^2+1}{p+1}$. Then we have $a(T; f) \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all $T \in {}^p\mathcal{X}_2$.*

The proof we will give is valid only for primes p because we rely on the coset decomposition of Corollary 5.5. The proof of Theorem 5.9 is at the end of this section. These results partially generalize Sturm's Theorem [28] on elliptic modular forms for $\Gamma_0(N)$, which we state for level one in the next Theorem. For a ring $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $R\langle S \rangle$ denote the R -module generated by finite R -linear combinations of elements from S . Recall the notation $\{\{k\}\} = \dim S_1^k$.

5.10 Theorem. *If $f \in M_1^k(\mathbb{C})$, then $f \in M_1^k(\mathbb{Z}\langle a(j; f) : j \leq \{\{k\}\} \rangle)$.*

Theorem 5.9 is proven by reduction to a congruence criterion for integral forms of level one which is of independent interest. For $g = 2$, we will show in Theorem 5.15 that

$$(5.11) \quad \text{If } f \in M_2^k(\mathbb{C}), \text{ then } f \in M_2^k\left(\mathbb{Z}\langle a(T; f) : w(T) \leq \frac{k}{6} \rangle\right).$$

For $k \neq 2$, each $M_1^k(\mathbb{Z})$ has a basis whose i^{th} element has a Fourier expansion $q^i + 0(q^{i+1})$ for $0 \leq i \leq \dim S_1^k$. An immediate consequence is

5.12 Theorem. *If $f \in \text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^k(\mathbb{C})$, then we have*

$$f \in \text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^k(\mathbb{Z}\langle a(i, j; f) : i, j \leq \{\{k\}\} \rangle).$$

For a ring $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, this shows that $\text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^k(R) = \text{Sym}(M_1^k(R) \otimes M_1^k(R))$.

Interest in the intermediate ring $\text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^k(R)$ stems from the Witt map [30]

$$\begin{aligned} W : M_2^k(R) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^k(R) \\ (\Omega \mapsto f(\Omega)) &\mapsto \left((\tau_1, \tau_2) \mapsto f \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for which the Fourier coefficients obey $a(i, j; W(f)) = \sum_b a\left(\begin{pmatrix} i & b \\ b & j \end{pmatrix}; f\right)$. The following exact sequence is often the basis of computing in the ring $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

$$0 \rightarrow M_2^{k-10}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cdot X_{10}} M_2^k(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{W} \text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Igusa showed that the following sequence is exact [15, Lemma 7, p. 163].

$$0 \rightarrow M_2^{k-10}(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cdot X_{10}} M_2^k(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{W} \text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^k(\mathbb{Z})$$

but that the Witt map from $M_2^k(\mathbb{Z})$ to $\text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^k(\mathbb{Z})$ does *not* surject when twelve divides k . The following Lemma has greater applicability if we note that $M_2^k(R) = M_2^k(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$ for $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules R , cf. [15, pg. 150].

5.13 Lemma ([15], Lemma 13, pg. 171). *We have $W(M_2^k(\mathbb{Z})) = \text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^k(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{12}$.*

We need a lemma that records the reach of each Fourier expansion. Some familiarity with the geometry of numbers, as in [21], is required for the proof of the next Lemma. In particular, let $\varpi(A) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle x \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\} : x'Ax = m(A) \rangle$ be the cone in $\mathcal{P}_2^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R})$ generated by the minimal vectors of A . Also, for $X \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{Semihull}(X)$ denotes the closure in $\mathcal{P}_2^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R})$ of the convex ray hull of X . Finally, we need to mention the technique of restriction to modular curves [22] in the simplest case. For $s \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, define $\phi_s : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$ by $\tau \mapsto s\tau$ and note that, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell s^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, the pullback is a ring homomorphism $\phi_s^* : M_n \rightarrow M_1(\Gamma_0(\ell))$ that multiplies weights by n . If $f \in M_n^k$ has a Fourier series $\text{FS}_n(f) = \sum_T a(T)q^T$, then $\text{FS}_1(\phi_s^* f) = \sum_j \left(\sum_{T: \langle s, T \rangle = j} a(T) \right) q^j$.

5.14 Lemma. *Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Let $b > 0$. Let $f \in M_2^k(\mathbb{C})$ and $f' \in M_2^{k-10}(\mathbb{C})$ with $f = X_{10}f'$. Then we have*

$$(\forall T \in \mathcal{X}_2^{\text{semi}} : w(T) < b, a(T; f) \in R) \implies \forall T \in \mathcal{X}_2^{\text{semi}} : w(T) < b - \frac{3}{2}, a(T; f') \in R.$$

Proof. Let $\text{supp}_R(f') = \{T \in \mathcal{X}_2^{\text{semi}} : a(T; f') \notin R\}$. Our goal is to prove the inequality $\min w(\text{supp}_R(f')) \geq b - 3/2$. Letting $K = \text{Semihull}(\text{supp}_R(f'))$, it is equivalent to show that $\min w(K) \geq b - 3/2$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $T \in \text{supp}_R(f')$ is a vertex of K with minimal dyadic trace $w(T) < b - 3/2$. By changing representatives within the $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence class of T , we may assume $T \in \varpi(A)$ for $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

In the dual $K^\sqcup = \{y \in \mathcal{P}_2^{\text{semi}}(\mathbb{R}) : \forall x \in K, \langle x, y \rangle \geq 1\}$, the vertex T corresponds to the convex two dimensional face $F = \{y \in K^\sqcup : \langle T, y \rangle = 1\}$. The point $Y = A/w(T)$ is in this face and also in the interior of the cone $\varpi(\hat{A})$ for $\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let N be a neighborhood of Y with $N \subseteq \varpi(\hat{A})$. For any $H \in N \cap F^\circ$, we have both (1) $\{x \in K : \langle x, H \rangle = 1\} = \{T\}$ because $H \in F^\circ$ and (2) $\{x \in [\hat{A}] : \langle x, H \rangle = w(H)\} = \{\hat{A}\}$ because $H \in \varpi(\hat{A})^\circ$. Consider the continuous function $\langle \hat{A} + T, \cdot \rangle / m(\cdot)$ evaluated at Y :

$$\frac{\langle \hat{A} + T, Y \rangle}{m(Y)} = \frac{\langle \hat{A} + T, A \rangle}{m(A)} = \frac{\langle \hat{A}, A \rangle}{m(A)} + \frac{\langle T, A \rangle}{m(A)} = \frac{3}{2} + w(T) < \frac{3}{2} + \left(b - \frac{3}{2}\right) = b.$$

As Y is an accumulation point of $N \cap F^\circ$, there is $H \in N \cap F^\circ$ with $\langle \hat{A} + T, H \rangle / m(H) < b$.

The leading term of $\phi_H^* X_{10}$ is $a(\hat{A}; X_{10})q^{\langle \hat{A}, H \rangle} = q^{\langle \hat{A}, H \rangle}$ by (2). The leading term of $\phi_H^* f'$ modulo $R[[q]]$ is $a(T; f')q^{\langle T, H \rangle}$ by (1). Thus $\phi_H^* f = (\phi_H^* X_{10})(\phi_H^* f')$ has a leading term modulo $R[[q]]$ given by $a(T; f')q^{\langle \hat{A} + T, H \rangle}$ and hence

$$\sum_{X \in \text{supp}(f) : \langle X, H \rangle = \langle \hat{A} + T, H \rangle} a(X; f) \equiv a(T; f') \pmod{R}.$$

For $X \in \text{supp}(f)$ with $\langle X, H \rangle = \langle \hat{A} + T, H \rangle$ we have

$$w(X) \leq \frac{\langle X, H \rangle}{m(H)} = \frac{\langle \hat{A} + T, H \rangle}{m(H)} < b,$$

so that $a(X; f) \in R$ by hypothesis and we obtain $a(T; f') \in R$ and the contradiction $T \notin \text{supp}_R(f')$. $\square$

5.15 Theorem. *Let $f \in M_2^k(\mathbb{C})$, then $f \in M_2^k(\mathbb{Z}\langle a(T; f) : w(T) \leq \frac{k}{6} \rangle)$. Furthermore, $f \in M_2^k\left(\mathbb{Z}\langle a(T; f) : w(T) \leq \frac{k - \nu(k)}{6} \rangle\right)$ for $\nu(k) = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ for $k \equiv 0, 10, 8, 6, 4, 2 \pmod{12}$, respectively.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the second assertion and we do this by induction on k . Let $A = \mathbb{Z}\langle a(T; f) : w(T) \leq (k - \nu(k))/6 \rangle$. Let $f \in M_2^k(\mathbb{C})$ and consider $\hat{f} = f$ for $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ and $\hat{f} = E_4 f$ for $k \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. Thus, in all cases, the weight $\hat{k}$ of $\hat{f}$ is not divisible by 12. The Witt image $W(\hat{f}) \in \text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^{\hat{k}}(\mathbb{C})$ has Fourier coefficients

$$a(i, j; W(\hat{f})) = \sum_b a\left(\begin{pmatrix} i & b \\ b & j \end{pmatrix}; \hat{f}\right).$$

By Theorem 5.12, we have $W(\hat{f}) \in \text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^{\hat{k}}(\mathbb{Z}\langle a(i, j; W(\hat{f})) : i, j \leq \{\{\hat{k}\}\} \rangle)$. For $i, j \leq \{\{\hat{k}\}\}$, we have $w\left(\begin{pmatrix} i & b \\ b & j \end{pmatrix}\right) \leq \text{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} i & b \\ b & j \end{pmatrix}\right) = i + j \leq 2\{\{\hat{k}\}\}$, so that $a(i, j; W(\hat{f})) \in A$ and $W(\hat{f}) \in \text{Sym}(M_1 \otimes M_1)^{\hat{k}}(A)$ if $2\{\{\hat{k}\}\} \leq (k - \nu(k))/6$. This inequality holds in all six cases, see Table 3. By Lemma 5.13, there is an $F \in M_2^{\hat{k}}(A)$ such that $W(F) = W(\hat{f})$. Therefore, $F - \hat{f} = X_{10}f'$ for some $f' \in M_2^{k'}(\mathbb{C})$ with $k' = \hat{k} - 10$. For all T with $w(T) \leq (k - \nu(k))/6$ we have $a(T; F - \hat{f}) \in A$. Since $(k - \nu(k))/6 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and since $w(\mathcal{X}_2^{\text{semi}}) \subseteq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, the strict inequality $w(T) < (k - \nu(k))/6 + 1/2$ is equivalent to the inequality $w(T) \leq (k - \nu(k))/6$. By Lemma 5.14, we have $a(T; f') \in A$ for all T with $w(T) < (k - \nu(k))/6 - 1$, or equivalently, $w(T) \leq (k - \nu(k))/6 - 3/2$.

By the induction hypothesis we have $f' \in M_2^{k'}(\mathbb{Z}\langle a(T; f') : w(T) \leq (k' - \nu(k'))/6 \rangle)$. Thus we have $f' \in M_2^{k'}(A)$ since $(k' - \nu(k'))/6 \leq (k - \nu(k))/6 - 3/2$, an inequality that holds with equality in all six cases. Since $X_{10} \in S_2^{10}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $F - \hat{f} = X_{10}f' \in S_2^{\hat{k}}(A)$ and we also have $\hat{f} \in M_2^{\hat{k}}(A)$. This is either $f \in M_2^k(A)$ or $E_4 f \in M_2^{k+4}(A)$, from which $f \in M_2^k(A)$ follows. It remains to check the base case of the induction. It suffices to note that for $k < 10$, nontrivial $M_2^k(\mathbb{C})$ are spanned by one Eisenstein series. $\square$

The following Table is an aid to checking the proof of Theorem 5.15.

Table 3. Functions from the proof of Theorem 5.15.

1	$k \bmod 12$	0	10	8	6	4	2
2	$\nu(k)$	0	1	2	3	4	5
3	$\hat{k}$	$k+4$	k	k	k	k	k
4	k'	$k-6$	$k-10$	$k-10$	$k-10$	$k-10$	$k-10$
5	$\nu(k')$	3	0	1	2	3	4
6	$12\{\{k\}\}$	k	$k-10$	$k-8$	$k-6$	$k-4$	$k-14$
7	$k-\nu(k)$	k	$k-1$	$k-2$	$k-3$	$k-4$	$k-5$
8	$k'-\nu(k')$	$k-9$	$k-10$	$k-11$	$k-12$	$k-13$	$k-14$

Theorem 5.15 is a module criterion for integral forms of level one. To prove a congruence criterion for $K(p)$, we use the explicit coset representatives from Corollary 5.5.

Proof of Theorem 5.9. Write the Fourier expansion of f as $\text{FS}(f) = \sum_T a(T)q^T$. For each coset $\Gamma'_0(p)Y \in \Gamma'_0(p) \backslash \Gamma_2$ as in Corollary 5.5, we consider the Fourier expansion of $f|Y$. There are $I = 1 + p + p^2 + p^3$ of these cosets. These Y break down into $p+1$ cases of one type where $K(p)Y\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z}) = K(p)\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $p^2 + p^3$ cases of another type where $K(p)Y\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z}) = K(p)\kappa\Delta_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For the first type we have

$$Y = \delta = \begin{pmatrix} U & XU^* \\ 0 & U^* \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

for $U \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $X \in M_{2 \times 2}^{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{Z})$, so that for $f_Y = \det(U)^k f$ we have the Fourier expansion

$$\text{FS}(f_Y) = \sum_{T \in {}^p\mathcal{X}_2} a(T)q^{T[U]}.$$

For the second type we have $Y = \kappa\delta$. Using $\kappa = \mu \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & pI \end{pmatrix}$ along with our assumption $f|_\mu = \pm f$ and letting $f_Y = \pm \det(U)^k p^k f$ and $\zeta_p = e(\frac{1}{p})$, we have the Fourier expansion

$$\text{FS}(f_Y) = \sum_{T \in {}^p\mathcal{X}_2} a(T) \zeta_p^{\langle X, T \rangle} q^{\frac{1}{p} T[U]}.$$

Each of these Fourier expansions has coefficients in $\mathcal{O}[\zeta_p]$ and furthermore in $\mathbf{p}[\zeta_p]$ for T such that $w(T) \leq \frac{k}{6} \frac{p^2+1}{p+1}$. We consider the product of these series

$$F = \left(\prod_{\Gamma'_0(p)Y \in \Gamma'_0(p) \backslash \Gamma_2} f_Y \right) \in M_2^{kI}(\mathcal{O}[\zeta_p]).$$

From the product for F we see that $\text{supp}_{\mathbf{p}[\zeta_p]}(F) \subseteq \sum_Y \text{supp}_{\mathbf{p}[\zeta_p]}(f_Y)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \min w \left(\text{supp}_{\mathbf{p}[\zeta_p]}(F) \right) &\geq \min w \left(\sum_Y \text{supp}_{\mathbf{p}[\zeta_p]}(f_Y) \right) \geq \min \left(\sum_Y w \left(\text{supp}_{\mathbf{p}[\zeta_p]}(f_Y) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_Y \min w \left(\text{supp}_{\mathbf{p}[\zeta_p]}(f_Y) \right) > (p+1) \frac{k}{6} \frac{p^2+1}{p+1} + \frac{1}{p} (p^2+p^3) \frac{k}{6} \frac{p^2+1}{p+1} = \frac{k}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.11, we have $F \in S_2^{kI}(\mathbf{p}[\zeta_p])$.

Now we will show that $\text{FS}(f) = \sum_T a(T)q^T$ has $a(T) \in \mathbf{p}$. We proceed by contradiction; if not, there is a $T_0 \in {}^p\mathcal{X}_2$ with $a(T_0) \notin \mathbf{p}$. Pick a prime ideal L containing $\mathbf{p}\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_p)}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_p)}$. Denote by

$$R_L : M_2(\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_p)}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_p)}/L)$$

the reduction of Fourier coefficients modulo L . Then $R_L(F) = 0$ but we can show each $R_L(f_Y)$ is nonzero at q^{T_0} or at $q^{\frac{1}{p}T_0[U]}$: for if $a(T_0)\zeta_p^j \in L$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a(T_0) \in \mathcal{O}$, then $a(T_0) \in L \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathbf{p}$. We now have a contradiction using the fact that power series over the domain $\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_p)}/L$ cannot be zero divisors. $\square$

We conclude this section by presenting vanishing and congruence conditions for Jacobi forms. We thank O. Richter for suggesting this.

5.16 Corollary. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime or one. Let $\phi \in J_{k,p}^{\text{cusp}}$ have the Fourier expansion*

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{Z}: 4np > r^2} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r = \sum_{D \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{Z}: -D \equiv r^2 \pmod{4p}} c(D) q^{\frac{D+r^2}{4p}} \zeta^r.$$

The form ϕ is trivial if and only if $c(D) = 0$ whenever $D \leq \frac{8}{225} \left(k \frac{1+p^2}{1+p} \right)^2$.

Let K be a number field, $\mathcal{O}$ its integers and $\mathbf{p}$ a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\phi \in J_{k,p}^{\text{cusp}}$ have all $c(D) \in \mathcal{O}$. We have all $c(D) \in \mathbf{p}$ if and only if $c(D) \in \mathbf{p}$ whenever $D \leq \frac{1}{27} \left(k \frac{1+p^2}{1+p} \right)^2$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 of [6, page 23], for index p prime or 1, the Fourier coefficients $c(n, r)$ depend only upon $4np - r^2$ so that we may write $c(n, r) = c(4np - r^2)$. First examine the vanishing condition. The Fourier coefficients of $\text{Grit}(\phi) \in S_2^k(K(p))$ are

$$a \begin{pmatrix} mp & r/2 \\ r/2 & n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\delta | (n, r, m)} \delta^{k-1} c \left(\frac{mn}{\delta^2}, \frac{r}{\delta} \right) = \sum_{\delta} \delta^{k-1} c \left(\frac{4nm - r^2}{\delta} \right),$$

compare Theorem 4.4. From $c(D) = 0$ whenever $D \leq \frac{8}{225} \left(k \frac{1+p^2}{1+p} \right)^2$, we see that $a(T) = 0$ whenever $4 \det(T) \leq \frac{8}{225} \left(k \frac{1+p^2}{1+p} \right)^2$. Equivalently, $a(T) = 0$ for $\delta(T) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{15} k \frac{1+p^2}{1+p}$, which proves the vanishing of $\text{Grit}(\phi)$ by Corollary 5.7. Hence ϕ also vanishes.

Now examine the congruence condition for $\text{Grit}(\phi) \in S_2^k(K(p))^\epsilon(\mathcal{O})$ for $\epsilon = (-1)^k$. If $a(T; \text{Grit}(\phi)) \in \mathfrak{p}$ for $T = \begin{pmatrix} mp & r/2 \\ r/2 & n \end{pmatrix} \in {}^p\mathcal{X}_2$ satisfying $w(T) \leq \frac{k}{6} \frac{1+p^2}{1+p}$, then by Theorem 5.9 we have $a(T; \text{Grit}(\phi)) \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all T . It would follow that $c(D) = c(n, r; \phi) = a\left(\begin{pmatrix} p & r/2 \\ r/2 & n \end{pmatrix}; \text{Grit}(\phi)\right) \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all D . So suppose T satisfies $w(T) \leq \frac{k}{6} \frac{1+p^2}{1+p}$; we will show that $a(T; \text{Grit}(\phi)) \in \mathfrak{p}$. From $w(T) \geq \frac{2}{\mu_2} \delta(T)$, we see $\delta(T) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} w(T) \leq \frac{k}{6\sqrt{3}} \frac{1+p^2}{1+p}$; thus $D = 4mnp - r^2 = 4 \det(T) \leq \frac{1}{27} \left(k \frac{1+p^2}{1+p}\right)^2$ and $c(D) \in \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, by the above formula for the Fourier coefficients of $\text{Grit}(\phi)$, we have $a(T; \text{Grit}(\phi)) \in \mathfrak{p}$. $\square$

§6. Integral Closure.

The constructions considered so far generate a large subring $R \subseteq M_2(K(p))$. Let R be the Hecke stable subring generated by Gritsenko lifts and traces of theta series. For weights $k \geq 3$, the dimension formulae of Ibukiyama reveal when R contains $S_2^k(K(p))$; indeed, we usually have containment in our examples for $k \geq 4$. We may use the following Lemmas to construct nonlifts in $S_2^2(K(p))$ by studying the integral closure of $S_2(K(p))$. This technique, in the case of elliptic modular forms, was used by J. Tate [29] to construct nonbanal examples of weight one cusp forms for which the Artin Conjecture could be tested. The present article, in much the same spirit, aims at nonbanal examples of weight two paramodular cusp forms for which the Paramodular Conjecture can be tested.

Recall that $\mathcal{X}_n = \{T \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{Q}) : \forall v \in \mathbb{Z}^n, v'Tv \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and ${}^N\mathcal{X}_2 = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X}_2 : N|a\right\}$.

6.1 Definition. Set $\mathcal{H}_N(2) = \{H \in S_2^4(K(N)) : \text{supp}(H) \subseteq {}^N\mathcal{X}_2 + {}^N\mathcal{X}_2\}$. Also define $\mathcal{H}_N(2)^\pm = \{H \in S_2^4(K(N))^\pm : \text{supp}(H) \subseteq {}^N\mathcal{X}_2 + {}^N\mathcal{X}_2\}$.

The next lemma is useful when $S_2^2(K(N))$ has linearly independent Gritsenko lifts.

6.2 Lemma. Let $g_1, g_2 \in S_2^2(K(N))$ be nontrivial. Define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{g_1, g_2} : S_2^2(K(N)) &\rightarrow \{(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{H}_N(2) \times \mathcal{H}_N(2) : H_1 g_2 = H_2 g_1\} \\ f &\mapsto (f g_1, f g_2). \end{aligned}$$

The map ι_{g_1, g_2} is injective.

Proof. It suffices to point out that the image of ι_{g_1, g_2} is contained in $\mathcal{H}_N(2) \times \mathcal{H}_N(2)$. $\square$

6.3 Corollary. Let $g_1, g_2 \in S_2^2(K(N))$ be nontrivial. For primes ℓ , we have the inequality $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_2^2(K(N)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \{(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{H}_N(2)(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \times \mathcal{H}_N(2)(\mathbb{F}_\ell) : H_1 R_\ell(g_2) = H_2 R_\ell(g_1)\}$.

This Corollary finds an upper bound on $\dim S_2^2(K(N))$ from a basis of $S_2^4(K(N))(\mathbb{Z})$ modulo ℓ . When this upper bound equals $\dim J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}}$ then $S_2^2(K(N))$ is spanned by lifts. It is also useful to have versions of Lemma 6.2 that treat the plus and minus spaces separately. We define a set, $\mathcal{F}_N$, of indices that are $\hat{\Gamma}_0(N)$ -equivalent to those that appear in the first Fourier-Jacobi coefficient of forms from $S_2(K(N))$.

6.4 Definition. Set $\mathcal{F}_N = \{T \in {}^N\mathcal{X}_2 : \exists \begin{pmatrix} N & b \\ & b & c \end{pmatrix} \in {}^N\mathcal{X}_2, \exists U \in \hat{\Gamma}_0(N) : T[U] = \begin{pmatrix} N & b \\ & b & c \end{pmatrix}\}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Set ${}^N\mathcal{X}'_2 = {}^N\mathcal{X}_2 \setminus \mathcal{F}_N$. Define $\mathcal{H}'_N(2) = \{H \in \mathcal{H}_N(2) : \text{supp}(H) \subseteq {}^N\mathcal{X}_2 + {}^N\mathcal{X}'_2\}$. Define $\mathcal{H}''_N(2) = \{H \in \mathcal{H}_N(2) : \text{supp}(H) \subseteq {}^N\mathcal{X}'_2 + {}^N\mathcal{X}'_2\}$. Set $\mathcal{H}'_N(2)^\pm = \mathcal{H}'_N(2) \cap S_2^4(K(N))^\pm$ and $\mathcal{H}''_N(2)^\pm = \mathcal{H}''_N(2) \cap S_2^4(K(N))^\pm$.

6.5 Corollary. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g_1, g_2 \in S_2^2(K(N))^+$ be nontrivial. We have the inequalities $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_2^2(K(N))^- \leq \dim\{(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{H}_N(2)^- \times \mathcal{H}_N(2)^- : H_1 g_2 = H_2 g_1\}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(S_2^2(K(N))^+ / \text{Grit}(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}}) \right) \leq \dim\{(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{H}'_N(2)^+ \times \mathcal{H}'_N(2)^+ : H_1 g_2 = H_2 g_1\}$.

Proof. When g_1 and g_2 are plus forms, the restriction of ι_{g_1, g_2} to $S_2^2(K(N))^-$ has an image in $\mathcal{H}_N(2)^- \times \mathcal{H}_N(2)^-$. The injectivity of ι_{g_1, g_2} then proves the first inequality. For the second inequality, we note that each $f \in S_2^2(K(N))^+$ has a unique representative $\hat{f} \in f + \text{Grit}(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}})$ whose first Fourier Jacobi coefficient vanishes. Thus, we have $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \subseteq {}^N\mathcal{X}'_2$. The map $\hat{\iota}_{g_1, g_2}$ defined on $S_2^2(K(N))^+$ by $\hat{\iota}_{g_1, g_2}(f) = (g_1 \hat{f}, g_2 \hat{f})$ has kernel $\text{Grit}(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}})$ and an image in $\mathcal{H}'_N(2)^+ \times \mathcal{H}'_N(2)^+$. $\square$

This next Lemma is useful when $S_2^2(K(N))$ has a nontrivial Gritsenko lift.

6.6 Lemma. Let $g \in S_2^2(K(N))$ be nontrivial. Define a (nonlinear) map

$$\begin{aligned} j_g : S_2^2(K(N)) &\rightarrow \{(F, H) \in \mathcal{H}_N(2) \times \mathcal{H}_N(2) : H^2 = Fg^2\} \\ f &\mapsto (f^2, fg). \end{aligned}$$

The map j_g is bijective.

Proof. The map j_g is clearly injective. On the other hand, suppose we have $F, H \in \mathcal{H}_N(2) \subseteq S_2^4(K(N))$ with $H^2 = Fg^2$. The function $f = H/g$ is a weight 2 meromorphic form whose square, $f^2 = H^2/g^2 = F$, is holomorphic. Hence f is holomorphic and $j_g(f) = (f^2, fg) = (F, H)$. $\square$

Our strategy to construct nonlifts is to use Lemma 6.2 to find a meromorphic function f with distinct representations $f = H_1/g_1 = H_2/g_2$. One then gains a pretty good idea of whether or not f is holomorphic by applying the formulae for the action of the Hecke operators on Fourier coefficients to the initial Fourier expansion of f . To prove f is holomorphic we use Lemma 6.6. This requires demonstrating the vanishing of $H^2 - Fg^2 \in S_2^8(K(p))^+$. One way to verify these identities in weight 8 is to span $S_2^8(K(p))^+$ but this is not always computationally feasible. Another path to proving holomorphicity would be to study the divisors of g_1 and g_2 ; note however, that $K(p) \setminus K(p)(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1)$ will always be a common divisor of any weight two paramodular cusp forms.

For small levels, when the dimension of the Gritsenko lifts is less than 2, nonlifts were eliminated by the Restriction Technique, compare [22]. In order to avoid a lengthy description of the Restriction Technique here, we provide the following Lemmas. The first was used for levels 37, 43 and 53.

6.7 Lemma. If $\dim J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}} \geq 1$ and $\dim S_2^4(K(N)) = 1 + \dim J_{4,N}^{\text{cusp}}$ then $\mathcal{H}''_N(2) = \{0\}$. Also, if $\dim \mathcal{H}_N(2) \leq 1 \leq \dim J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}}$ then $\mathcal{H}''_N(2) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $f = \text{Grit}(\phi) \in S_2(K(N))^+$ for a nontrivial $\phi \in J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}}$. Since the first Fourier-Jacobi coefficient of f^2 is zero, we have $\text{Grit}(J_{4,N}^{\text{cusp}}) \subsetneq \mathbb{C}f^2 + \text{Grit}(J_{4,N}^{\text{cusp}}) \subseteq S_2^4(K(N))^+$. Applying the hypothesis $\dim S_2^4(K(N)) = 1 + \dim J_{4,N}^{\text{cusp}}$, we have $S_2^4(K(N)) = S_2^4(K(N))^+ = \mathbb{C}f^2 + \text{Grit}(J_{4,N}^{\text{cusp}})$. From this we can show $\mathcal{H}_N''(2) = \{0\}$.

Any $H \in \mathcal{H}_N''(2) \subseteq S_2^4(K(N))$ can be expressed as $H = \alpha f^2 + \text{Grit}(\psi)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\psi \in J_{4,N}^{\text{cusp}}$. The first Fourier-Jacobi coefficient of H is ψ and so $\psi = 0$ and $H = \alpha f^2$. The Fourier-Jacobi expansion of H begins $H\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \tau & z \\ z & \omega \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \alpha \phi(w, z)^2 e(2N\omega) + \dots$ and because ϕ is nontrivial, $\text{supp}(\phi^2 e(\cdot)^{2N})$ contains a definite index $T = \begin{pmatrix} 2N & r/2 \\ r/2 & m \end{pmatrix}$ with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. However, no element of this form is in ${}^N\mathcal{X}'_2 + {}^N\mathcal{X}'_2$, so that $H \in \mathcal{H}_N''(2)$ implies that $\alpha = 0$ and $H = 0$. This proves the first assertion. The final assertion follows from the same argument because any $H \in \mathcal{H}_N''(2)$ can be written $H = \alpha f^2$ for f as above. $\square$

Items (4) and (5) of the next Lemma are the most commonly used on the website [24] to prove that, for most primes $p < 600$, $S_2^2(K(p))$ consist entirely of lifts.

6.8 Lemma. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (1) *If $S_2^4(K(N)) = \text{Grit}(J_{4,N}^{\text{cusp}})$ then $\mathcal{H}_N(2) = \{0\}$.*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{H}_N(2)^+ = \{0\}$ then $S_2^2(K(N)) = \{0\}$.*
- (3) *If $\mathcal{H}_N''(2) = \{0\}$ then $S_2^2(K(N)) = \text{Grit}(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}})$.*
- (4) *If $\mathcal{H}_N''(2)^+ = \{0\}$ then $S_2^2(K(N))^+ = \text{Grit}(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}})$.*
- (5) *If $\dim \mathcal{H}_N(2)^- < \dim J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}}$ then $S_2^2(K(N))^- = \{0\}$.*
- (6) *If $\dim \mathcal{H}_N''(2) \leq 2$, then $\text{Grit}(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}})$ has codimension at most 1 in $S_2^2(K(N))$.*
- (7) *If $\dim \mathcal{H}_N''(2)^+ \leq 2$, then $\text{Grit}(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}})$ has codimension at most 1 in $S_2^2(K(N))^+$.*

Proof. For (1) it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}_N(2) \cap \text{Grit}(J_{4,N}^{\text{cusp}}) = \{0\}$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}_N(2)$ the first Fourier-Jacobi coefficient is 0, hence $f \in \text{Grit}(J_{4,N}^{\text{cusp}})$ further implies that $f = \text{Grit}(0) = 0$. For (2), if $S_2^2(K(N)) \neq \{0\}$ then there is a nontrivial f in $S_2^2(K(N))^+$ or $S_2^2(K(N))^-$. In either case, $f^2 \in \mathcal{H}_N(2)^+$ is nontrivial as well. For (3), suppose that $f \in S_2^2(K(N))$ is not a Gritsenko lift. Let $\phi \in J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}}$ be the first Fourier-Jacobi coefficient of f . Then $\hat{f} = f - \text{Grit}(\phi)$ has a trivial first Fourier-Jacobi coefficient but is itself nontrivial. From $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \subseteq {}^N\mathcal{X}'_2$ we see that $\hat{f}^2 \in \mathcal{H}_N''(2)$ and $\mathcal{H}_N''(2) \neq \{0\}$. Item (4) follows from the same argument. For (5): when $f \in S_2^2(K(N))^-$ is nontrivial then $f \text{Grit}(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_N(2)^-$ so that $\dim J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}} \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_N(2)^-$. For (6), if $f, g \in S_2^2(K(N))$ are linearly independent modulo Gritsenko lifts, then $\hat{f}^2, \hat{f}\hat{g}, \hat{g}^2 \in \mathcal{H}_N''(2)$ are linearly independent, noting that any quadratic has linear factors over $\mathbb{C}$. Item (7) follows from the same argument. $\square$

§7. Examples of weight two.

We explain how the Theorems stated in the Introduction were proven. We first construct initial Fourier expansions of cusp forms in $S_2^4(K(p))$ by multiplying Gritsenko lifts from $S_2^2(K(p))$, by applying Hecke operators and by tracing theta series from $S_2^4(\Gamma_0(p))$. The dimension formula of Ibukiyama in Theorem 3.1 tells us if and when we have spanned

$S_2^4(K(p))$. In this manner we were able to span $S_2^4(K(p))$ for $p < 600$, with the exception of $p = 499$. A nontrivial minus form of weight four first appears for $p = 83$.

Table 4. Dimensions of the μ -plus and μ -minus subspaces in $S_2^4(K(p))$

p	83	89	97	101	103	107	109	113	127	131	137	139	149	151	157	163
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^+$	18	23	32	27	32	27	38	33	44	38	45	51	51	59	65	65
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^-$	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	2	3	2	2	3	2	3	4

p	167	173	179	181	191	193	197	199	211	223	227	229	233	239	241
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^+$	55	62	65	83	73	92	78	91	100	106	91	121	106	105	133
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^-$	8	8	6	3	7	4	10	6	7	12	18	7	13	15	7

p	251	257	263	269	271	277	281	283	293	307	311	313	317	331
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^+$	113	124	120	134	149	161	149	155	149	177	163	200	174	211
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^-$	18	18	23	20	17	17	18	24	31	30	32	21	34	26

p	337	347	349	353	359	367	373	379	383	389	397	401	409	419
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^+$	227	192	239	212	210	241	263	264	226	256	289	274	318	272
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^-$	25	47	29	42	45	45	39	39	62	48	49	48	39	69

p	421	431	433	439	443	449	457	461	463	467	479	487	491	499
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^+$	333	287	343	333	297	333	378	335	362	321	341	393	363	422 or 423
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^-$	43	73	53	64	82	65	59	83	76	98	99	88	98	81 or 80

p	503	509	521	523	541	547	557	563	569	571	577	587	593	599
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^+$	363	400	426	437	506	478	460	443	502	530	558	480	518	519
$\dim S_2^4(K(p))^-$	120	104	101	112	90	119	138	156	121	117	114	169	156	156

For example, $\dim S_2^4(K(229)) = 128$ and products of the 7 weight two Gritsenko lifts give 28 linearly independent cusp forms of weight 4. Applying the Hecke operators T_2 , T_3 , T_2^2 and T_5 , we span spaces of dimension 56, 84, 112 and at least 121, respectively. When the action of the Hecke operators seemed to stabilize, we used Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 to compute initial Fourier expansions of $\text{Tr}(\vartheta_P \vartheta_Q) \in M_2^4(K(229))$ for $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$ where

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 1 & -3 & -1 \\ 1 & 12 & 0 & 3 \\ -3 & 0 & 24 & 10 \\ -1 & 3 & 10 & 24 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 12 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 12 & 2 \\ -1 & 4 & 2 & 40 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 14 & 5 & 6 \\ -1 & 5 & 16 & 8 \\ -1 & 6 & 8 & 28 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 3 & 5 & -1 \\ 3 & 18 & 8 & 0 \\ 5 & 8 & 18 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Any linear combination of these that cancels the constant term in the Fourier expansion gives an element of $S_2^4(K(229))$ as explained after Lemma 3.4. The addition of these linear combinations of theta traces increased the dimension of the constructed subspace to at least 128 and hence spanned $S_2^4(K(229))$. The involution μ twins the indices of the Fourier coefficients so that the dimensions of the plus and minus subspaces are easily computed to be 121 and 7. Notice that the theta traces were only necessary to fill the minus space. For the case $p = 229$ just described the seven theta blocks $\text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_i)$ are: $[2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 7, 9, 10, 11]$, $[2, 2, 3, 3, 5, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13]$, $[2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 14]$, $[1, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 11]$, $[1, 3, 3, 4, 6, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]$, $[1, 3, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 8, 10, 11]$, $[1, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13]$. See [24] for full comments on these computations.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. This is an application of Lemmas 6.2, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8 and Corollaries 6.3, and 6.5. Using initial Fourier expansions of a basis for $S_2^4(K(p))$ we compute initial Fourier expansions of linear combinations whose span contains $\mathcal{H}_p(2)$, $\mathcal{H}_p(2)^\pm$ and $\mathcal{H}_p''(2)^\pm$. If $\dim \mathcal{H}_p''(2)^+ = 0$ then $S_2^2(K(p))^+$ has no nonlifts by Lemma 6.8, item (4). If $\dim \mathcal{H}_p(2)^- < \dim J_{2,p}$ then $S_2^2(K(p))^-$ is trivial by Lemma 6.8, item (5). Otherwise, for various pairs of Gritsenko lifts $g_1, g_2 \in S_2^2(K(p))$, we compute upper bounds for $\dim\{(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{H}_p(2) \times \mathcal{H}_p(2) : H_1 g_2 = H_2 g_1\}$ by linear algebra. If any of these dimensions equal $\dim J_{2,p}$, then the injectivity of ι_{g_1, g_2} from Lemma 6.2 tells us that $S_2^2(K(p)) = \text{Grit}(J_{2,p})$. These computations may also be performed modulo a prime ℓ , we usually take $\ell = 19$, and we use Corollary 6.3 to get the same conclusion: $S_2^2(K(p)) = \text{Grit}(J_{2,p})$. When this last technique was used, the two Gritsenko lifts g_1 and g_2 that worked were recorded at [24].

Let us give an illustration of this last technique in the case of $p = 229$. We have $\dim J_{2,229} = 7$, $\dim \mathcal{H}_{229}(2) \leq 31$ and for $g_1 = \text{THBK}_2(2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 7, 9, 10, 11)$ and $g_2 = \text{THBK}_2(2, 2, 3, 3, 5, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13)$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{19}}\{(H_1, H_2) \in (\mathcal{H}_{229}(2) \times \mathcal{H}_{229}(2))(\mathbb{F}_{19}) : H_1 R_{229}(g_2) = H_2 R_{229}(g_1)\} \leq 7$. Therefore we have $S_2^2(K(229)) = \text{Grit}(J_{2,229})$. We note that this process requires choosing 31 linearly independent elements from $S_2^4(\mathbb{Z})$ whose $\mathbb{C}$ -span contains $\mathcal{H}_{229}(2)$ and whose reductions modulo 19 remain linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{19}$. For other primes, we simply note which case of Lemma 6.8 applies. We were only able to be certain that we had spanned a codimension one subspace of $S_2^4(K(499))$. Still, it can be shown that $S_2^2(K(499))$ is spanned by Gritsenko lifts and this is done in Appendix A. $\square$

Although the primes p for which the Gritsenko lifts span $S_2^2(K(p))$ produce no new paramodular cusp forms—they do give important evidence for the Paramodular Conjecture 1.1 because these p should also be, and so far are, primes for which there is no abelian surface defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor p . The more interesting primes p are those where $\dim \text{Grit}(J_{2,p}) < \dim S_2^2(K(p))$ since, in order to test the Paramodular Conjecture, we must construct nonlift paramodular Hecke eigenforms. The first example is $p = 277$.

7.1 Theorem. *We have $\dim S_2^2(K(277)) = 11$ whereas the dimension of Gritsenko lifts in $S_2^2(K(277))$ is $\dim J_{2,277} = 10$. Let $G_i = \text{Grit}(\text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq 10$ be the lifts of the 10 theta blocks given by $\Sigma_i \in \{[2, 4, 4, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14], [2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 7, 7, 9, 10, 14], [2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13], [2, 3, 3, 5, 6, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13], [2, 3, 3, 5, 5, 8, 8, 8, 11, 13],$*

$[2, 3, 3, 5, 5, 7, 8, 10, 10, 13], [2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 15], [2, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7, 9, 11, 13], [2, 2, 4, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12], [2, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 9, 11, 12] \}$. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} Q = & -14G_1^2 - 20G_8G_2 + 11G_9G_2 + 6G_2^2 - 30G_7G_{10} + 15G_9G_{10} + 15G_{10}G_1 - 30G_{10}G_2 \\ & - 30G_{10}G_3 + 5G_4G_5 + 6G_4G_6 + 17G_4G_7 - 3G_4G_8 - 5G_4G_9 - 5G_5G_6 + 20G_5G_7 \\ & - 5G_5G_8 - 10G_5G_9 - 3G_6^2 + 13G_6G_7 + 3G_6G_8 - 10G_6G_9 - 22G_7^2 + G_7G_8 + 15G_7G_9 \\ & + 6G_8^2 - 4G_8G_9 - 2G_9^2 + 20G_1G_2 - 28G_3G_2 + 23G_4G_2 + 7G_6G_2 - 31G_7G_2 + 15G_5G_2 \\ & + 45G_1G_3 - 10G_1G_5 - 2G_1G_4 - 13G_1G_6 - 7G_1G_8 + 39G_1G_7 - 16G_1G_9 - 34G_3^2 \\ & + 8G_3G_4 + 20G_3G_5 + 22G_3G_6 + 10G_3G_8 + 21G_3G_9 - 56G_3G_7 - 3G_4^2, \\ L = & -G_4 + G_6 + 2G_7 + G_8 - G_9 + 2G_3 - 3G_2 - G_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f = Q/L$ define a meromorphic paramodular form of weight 2. The form f is holomorphic and $S_2^2(K(277))(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(f, G_1, \dots, G_{10})$. The form f is a Hecke eigenform with spinor Euler factors

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(f, x) &= 1 + 2x + 4x^2 + 4x^3 + 4x^4, \\ Q_3(f, x) &= 1 + x + x^2 + 3x^3 + 9x^4, \\ Q_5(f, x) &= 1 + x - 2x^2 + 5x^3 + 25x^4. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, let

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q} = & -55G_5^2 - 13G_8G_2 - 148G_7G_8 + 17G_4G_9 + 123G_4G_8 + 160G_4G_7 - 12G_4G_6 + 73G_4G_5 \\ & - 163G_{10}G_3 - 148G_{10}G_2 + 211G_{10}G_1 - 62G_9G_{10} - 94G_7G_{10} + 145G_9G_2 - 18G_7G_9 \\ & + 58G_6G_9 - 138G_6G_8 - 10G_6G_7 + 52G_5G_9 - 109G_5G_8 - 73G_5G_6 - 4G_6G_2 + 154G_4G_2 \\ & - 156G_3G_2 + 17G_1G_2 - 5G_8G_9 + 333G_3G_5 + 37G_3G_4 + 26G_1G_9 + 235G_1G_7 - 71G_1G_8 \\ & + 49G_1G_6 - 34G_1G_4 - 151G_1G_5 + 396G_1G_3 - 2G_5G_2 - 19G_7G_2 - 245G_3G_7 - 58G_3G_9 \\ & + 83G_3G_8 + 113G_3G_6 - 54G_7^2 - 63G_8^2 + 12G_9^2 - 24G_4^2 - 404G_3^2 + 89G_5G_7 - 196G_1^2 \\ & + 8G_2^2 - 24G_6^2 + 5G_{10}G_5 + 63G_{10}G_6 + 9G_8G_{10} - 3G_4G_{10}, \\ \hat{L} = & G_{10} + 15G_1 + 6G_2 - 5G_3 + 5G_4 - 6G_5 - 5G_6 - 13G_7 + 7G_8 - 8G_9. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following identity in $S_2^8(K(277))$:

$$(7.2) \quad Q^2 + \hat{L}QL + \hat{Q}L^2 = 0.$$

Proof. For the pair of Gritsenko lifts

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= L = -G_4 + G_6 + 2G_7 + G_8 - G_9 + 2G_3 - 3G_2 - G_1, \\ v_2 &= G_1 - 3G_2 + G_3 - 2G_4 + 2G_6 + G_7 + 2G_8 - 2G_9, \end{aligned}$$

we computed $\dim\{(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{H}_{277}(2) \times \mathcal{H}_{277}(2) : H_1 v_2 = H_2 v_1\} \leq 11$. Therefore we have $\dim S_2^2(K(277)) \leq 11$ by Lemma 6.2. There is a pair $(Q, H_2) \in \mathcal{H}_{277}(2) \times \mathcal{H}_{277}(2)$ that is not an image of $\iota_{v_1, v_2}(\text{Grit}(J_{2,277}))$, that appears to satisfy $Qv_2 = H_2 v_1$ and that produces an initial Fourier expansion for $f = Q/v_1$ that is consistent with being a Hecke eigenform. To prove that f is holomorphic, it suffices to prove the identity 7.2. For if we have $Q^2 + \hat{L}QL + \hat{Q}L^2 = 0$, then we have

$$f^2 + \hat{L}f + \hat{Q} = 0, \text{ for } f = \frac{Q}{L},$$

so that f is in the integral closure of $M_2(K(277))$ and hence is holomorphic. An application of the Siegel ϕ map to $f^2 + \hat{L}f + \hat{Q} = 0$ shows that $(\phi f)^2 = 0$ so that f is a cusp form. From $Q, L \in S_2(K(277))(\mathbb{Z})$ we see that $f \in S_2^2(K(277))(\mathbb{Q})$. The Fourier expansion of f is computed by the long division of L into Q . The action of the Hecke operators on $S_2^2(K(277))(\mathbb{Q})$ and the Euler factors of f are computed from these Fourier coefficients.

The identity 7.2 was proven by spanning $S_2^8(K(277))$. The space $S_2^8(K(277))$ was spanned in the same manner as $S_2^4(K(277))$ but at greater expense. Products of the 56 weight four Gritsenko lifts gave at least 1496 linearly independent elements, at which point the Hecke operators T_2 and T_3 were applied, resulting in at least 1760 linearly independent elements in $S_2^8(K(277))^+$. Theta traces $\text{Tr}(\vartheta_P \vartheta_Q) \in M_2^4(K(277))$ for $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$ were computed and multiplied by $S_2^4(K(277))^+$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} = \{ & \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 5 & 5 & -5 \\ 5 & 16 & 7 & 1 \\ -5 & 1 & 3 & 26 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 1 & -7 & -6 \\ 1 & 20 & 3 & -6 \\ -7 & 3 & 20 & 5 \\ -6 & -6 & 5 & 22 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 3 & 1 & -5 \\ 3 & 14 & 6 & -2 \\ 1 & 6 & 20 & 1 \\ -5 & -2 & 1 & 30 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 14 & 6 & 5 \\ 1 & 6 & 18 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 2 & 30 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 1 & -5 & 3 \\ 1 & 14 & 0 & -1 \\ -5 & 0 & 16 & 6 \\ 3 & -1 & 6 & 32 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 5 & 5 & -3 \\ 5 & 16 & 7 & -8 \\ 5 & 7 & 18 & 0 \\ -3 & -8 & 0 & 28 \end{pmatrix} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Symmetrization of these with respect to μ gave an additional 57 cusp forms, so that $\dim S_2^8(K(277))^+ \geq 1817$. Products from $S_2^4(K(277))^+ S_2^4(K(277))^-$ gave at least 595 linearly independent weight 8 minus forms. Finally, the Hecke operator T_2 was applied to the minus forms computed in this manner, for an estimate $\dim S_2^8(K(277))^- \geq 712$. These steps gave a subspace that spanned at least 2529 dimensions over $\mathbb{F}_{19}$. This is the correct dimension by Ibukiyama's formula. Therefore, the dimension of the plus space in $S_2^8(K(277))$ is 1817 and the dimension of the minus space is 712. This gave a determining set of 2529 Fourier coefficients for $S_2^8(K(277))$. The identity 7.2 was then checked to vanish on this determining set of 2529 Fourier coefficients. $\square$

In connection with Theorem 7.1 we mention that the hyperelliptic curve C of genus 2 defined by $y^2 + y = x^5 + 5x^4 + 8x^3 + 6x^2 + 2x$ has a Jacobi variety $\text{Jac}(C)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor 277. We refer to the companion article [4] for these arithmetic results. The Euler factors of the Hasse-Weil L -function of $\text{Jac}(C)$ are identical to those of the spinor L -function of f for the primes $q = 2, 3$ and 5 . We know further equalities of eigenvalues but not nearly enough to prove that these L -functions are equal. The abelian surface $\text{Jac}(C)$ has rational 15-torsion. This is of a piece with the congruence in the following Theorem. We thank A. Brumer for suggesting this proof.

7.3 Theorem. *Let f be as in Theorem 7.1. We have $f \in S_2^2(K(277))(\mathbb{Z})$. The first Fourier Jacobi coefficient of f is*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi = & -5 \text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_5) + 3 \text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_4) - 3 \text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_6) + 4 \text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_7) + 6 \text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_8) \\ & + 2 \text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_9) - 2 \text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_3) - 2 \text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_2) - \text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_1) \in J_{2,277}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $R = \text{Grit}(\phi) = -5G_5 + 3G_4 - 3G_6 + 4G_7 + 6G_8 + 2G_9 - 2G_3 - 2G_2 - G_1 \in S_2^2(K(277))(\mathbb{Z})$. We have

$$\forall T \in {}^{277}\mathcal{X}_2, a(T; f) \equiv a(T; R) \pmod{15}.$$

Proof. By a result of Shimura [26], we have $Nf \in S_2^2(K(277))(\mathbb{Z})$ for some positive integer N . We choose N to be minimal with this property and show that $N = 1$. If not, suppose a prime ℓ divides N . From $f = Q/L$, we have $L(Nf) = NQ$. In $S_2^2(K(277))(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ we have $R_\ell(L)R_\ell(Nf) = 0$ because Q has integral Fourier coefficients. The Fourier expansion of L has unit content:

$$L(\Omega) = e\left(\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 98 \cdot 277 & 233 \\ 233 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rangle\right) + e\left(\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 26 \cdot 277 & 120 \\ 120 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rangle\right) + 2e\left(\langle \Omega, \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 326 \cdot 277 & 601 \\ 601 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \rangle\right) + \dots$$

where the “ $+\dots$ ” indicates $\hat{\Gamma}_0(277)$ -equivalent terms and terms of higher dyadic trace. Therefore $R_\ell(L)$ is nontrivial and cannot be a zero divisor. This shows that $R_\ell(Nf) = 0$ and that $\frac{N}{\ell}f$ is integral, contradicting the minimality of N . The congruence now follows formally from the quotient $f = Q/L$. To see this note that

$$\frac{Q}{L} = R + 15 \frac{J}{L}$$

holds identically in the $G_1, \dots, G_{10}$, considered as ten variables, if we set

$$\begin{aligned} J = & -G_7G_8 + G_4G_7 - 2G_{10}G_3 - 2G_{10}G_2 + G_{10}G_1 + G_9G_{10} - 2G_7G_{10} + G_9G_2 \\ & + G_7G_9 - G_6G_9 + G_6G_7 - G_5G_9 + 2G_4G_2 - 2G_3G_2 + G_1G_2 + 2G_3G_5 - G_1G_9 \\ & + 3G_1G_7 - G_1G_6 - G_1G_5 + 3G_1G_3 - G_7G_2 - 4G_3G_7 + G_3G_9 + 2G_3G_6 - 2G_7^2 \\ & - 2G_3^2 + 2G_5G_7 - G_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $R_\ell(L)R_\ell(f - R) = 0$ for $\ell = 3$ and 5 . The conclusion follows since $R_\ell(L)$ is not a zero divisor. $\square$

In $\text{Grit}(J_{2,p}) \cong S_1^2(\Gamma_0(p)^*)$, the 10 eigenforms break into a nine dimensional piece and a rational eigenform: $2G_1 + G_2 - 2G_3 + 3G_4 - 5G_5 - 3G_6 + 4G_7 + 6G_8 + 2G_9$. This rational eigenform is visibly congruent to R modulo 3. Suitable multiples of the other eigenforms are each congruent to R modulo a prime above 5. We have given the computations for the case $p = 277$ in some detail. We now tabulate the results for the other exceptional primes given in Theorem 1.2.

Table 5 lists data for every prime $p < 600$ that possibly could have a Hecke eigenform in $S_2^2(K(p))$ not in the image of the Gritsenko lift from $J_{2,p}$. The existence of a nonlift has

been proven in some cases but remains conjectural in others. The ϵ and the $\mathcal{O}$ columns indicate that there is a nonlift $f \in S_2^2(K(p))^\epsilon(\mathcal{O})$. It is defined by $f = Q/L$ for some $Q \in S_2^4(K(p))$ and $L \in S_2^2(K(p))$. There is an identity in $S_2^8(K(p))^+$, $Q^2 + LQ\hat{L} + L^2\hat{Q} = 0$ for some $\hat{Q} \in S_2^4(K(p))$ and $\hat{L} \in S_2^2(K(p))$, that would certify the holomorphicity of f . We give Q , $\hat{Q}$, L and $\hat{L}$ at [24] for each case. The verification of the weight 8 identity is expensive and a checkmark in the last column indicates that this verification is complete. An unchecked entry leaves open the possibility that f is properly meromorphic. The dimension of $S_2^2(K(p))^\epsilon$ would need to be reduced by one in each such happenstance. Table 5 gives some Hecke eigenvalues of these nonlifts and Appendix B gives some Fourier coefficients; many more Fourier coefficients may be found at [24]. Euler factors of the weight two form f for q prime to the level p may be computed as

$$Q_q(f, x) = 1 - \lambda_q x + (\lambda_q^2 - \lambda_{q^2} - 1)x^2 - q \lambda_q x^3 + q^2 x^4.$$

For the identifications of these Euler factors with those of known rational abelian varieties see the companion article [4]. Additionally, each entry displays primes ℓ for which we conjecture the congruence $f \equiv \text{Grit}(\phi) \pmod{\ell}$, where ϕ is the first Fourier Jacobi coefficient of f ; the congruences have been proven contingent upon the existence of the nonlift f . We cannot compute enough Fourier coefficients to verify these congruences with Theorem 5.9 but congruences can be detected by the form of Q and L , as in the proof of Theorem 7.3. By examining minors of rational bases, we have also been able to prove is that these are the only possible such congruences, see [24].

Table 5. Hecke eigenforms in $S_2^2(K(p))^\epsilon(\mathcal{O})$ but not in $\text{Grit}(J_{2,p})$.

p	$\dim J_{2,p}$	$\dim S_2^2(K(p))$	$\mathcal{O}$	ϵ	ℓ	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4	λ_5	λ_7	λ_9	λ_{11}	proven
277	10	11	$\mathbb{Z}$	+	{3, 5}	-2	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-2	✓
349	11	12	$\mathbb{Z}$	+	{13}	-2	-1	1	-1	-2	1	1	
353	11	12	$\mathbb{Z}$	+	{11}	-1	-2	-3	1	0	-1	2	
389	11	12	$\mathbb{Z}$	+	{2, 5}	-1	-2	-2	1	-3	1	4	
461	12	13	$\mathbb{Z}$	+	{7}	0	-3	-3	1	0	2	2	
523	17	18	$\mathbb{Z}$	+	{2, 5}	-1	0	-2	-4	2	-1	2	
587	18	20	$\mathbb{Z}$	+	{11}	-1	0	-3	0	-2	-2		
587	18	20	$\mathbb{Z}$	-	{ }	-3	-4	3	-2	0	6	-1	

Finally, we remark that for $p = 277$, there is a 4 dimensional space of weight 2 Gritsenko lifts whose product with the nonlift f lands in the span of the products of the weight 2 Gritsenko lifts. For $p = 353$, the analogous space is 3 dimensional. These curious subspaces of weight 2 Gritsenko lifts are intrinsic, as are the corresponding subspaces in $J_{2,p}$ and $S_1^{3/2}(\Gamma_0(4p))^+$. There is no corresponding space in $S_1^2(\Gamma_0(p))$ because the

Shimura correspondence is noncanonical. We do, however, have an intrinsic subspace of $S_1^2(\Gamma_0(p)) \otimes S_1^2(\Gamma_0(p))$ constructed by composing the Witt map with the Saito-Kurokawa lift. It would be interesting to give an alternative characterization of these spaces directly in terms of elliptic modular forms. We state the following Theorem as representative of the unproven cases in Table 5.

7.4 Theorem. *We have $\dim S_2^2(K(353)) \leq 12$ whereas the dimension of Gritsenko lifts in $S_2^2(K(353))$ is $\dim J_{2,353} = 11$. Let $G_i = \text{Grit}(\text{THBK}_2(\Sigma_i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq 11$ be the lifts of the 11 theta blocks given by $\Sigma_i \in \{[3, 4, 4, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 16], [3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 7, 7, 10, 12, 17], [2, 3, 5, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 16], [2, 3, 5, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13, 15], [2, 3, 5, 5, 5, 7, 10, 10, 12, 15], [2, 3, 4, 6, 6, 7, 9, 9, 13, 15], [2, 3, 4, 6, 6, 7, 8, 10, 14, 14], [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 13, 14], [2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 7, 8, 9, 12, 17], [2, 3, 4, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14], [2, 3, 3, 5, 6, 9, 9, 11, 12, 14]\}$. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} Q = & -G_{10}G_{11} - 2G_{11}G_2 + G_{10}G_3 + 4G_{11}G_3 + 2G_2G_3 - 4G_3^2 - 5G_{11}G_4 + 5G_3G_4 \\ & + G_{11}G_5 - G_3G_5 + 6G_{11}G_6 - 6G_3G_6 - 11G_1G_7 + 11G_{10}G_7 - 9G_{11}G_7 + 9G_3G_7 \\ & + 11G_4G_7 - 11G_6G_7 + 11G_7^2 + 11G_1G_8 - 11G_{10}G_8 + 9G_{11}G_8 - 9G_3G_8 - 11G_4G_8 \\ & + 11G_6G_8 - 22G_7G_8 + 11G_8^2 - 2G_{11}G_9 + 2G_3G_9, \\ L = & -G_{11} + G_3. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f = Q/L$ define a meromorphic form of weight 2. Let $\{[1, 8, 12, 12], [2, 3, 4, 18], [2, 3, 12, 14], [2, 6, 12, 13], [3, 10, 10, 12], [4, 7, 12, 12], [5, 6, 6, 16], [8, 8, 9, 12]\}$ be labeled $\{\Xi_1, \dots, \Xi_8\}$. For $\Xi_i = [a, b, c, d]$ and $\Xi_j = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta]$, define $W(i, j) = \text{Grit}(\vartheta_a \vartheta_b \vartheta_c \vartheta_d) \text{Grit}(\vartheta_\alpha \vartheta_\beta \vartheta_\gamma \vartheta_\delta) - \text{Grit}(\text{THBK}_4(a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta))$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q} = & 22G_1^2 - 165G_1G_{10} + 133G_{10}^2 + 385G_1G_{11} - 646G_{10}G_{11} + 407G_{11}^2 + 224G_{10}G_2 \\ & - 214G_{11}G_2 + 125G_2^2 - 286G_1G_3 + 330G_{10}G_3 - 562G_{11}G_3 + 121G_2G_3 + 127G_3^2 \\ & - 165G_1G_4 + 230G_{10}G_4 - 491G_{11}G_4 + 119G_2G_4 + 330G_3G_4 + 113G_4^2 - 220G_1G_5 \\ & + 231G_{10}G_5 - 25G_{11}G_5 - 22G_2G_5 + 113G_3G_5 + 110G_4G_5 - 100G_5^2 + 121G_1G_6 \\ & - 177G_{10}G_6 + 103G_{11}G_6 - 123G_2G_6 - 165G_3G_6 - 60G_4G_6 + 110G_5G_6 - 30G_6^2 \\ & - 572G_1G_7 + 594G_{10}G_7 - 479G_{11}G_7 + 99G_2G_7 + 457G_3G_7 + 330G_4G_7 - 103G_5G_7 \\ & - 66G_6G_7 + 205G_7^2 + 473G_1G_8 - 495G_{10}G_8 + 644G_{11}G_8 - 220G_2G_8 - 501G_3G_8 \\ & - 352G_4G_8 - 18G_5G_8 + 66G_6G_8 - 432G_7G_8 + 227G_8^2 + 242G_1G_9 - 214G_{10}G_9 \\ & + 213G_{11}G_9 - 377G_2G_9 - 198G_3G_9 + 132G_5G_9 + 64G_6G_9 - 242G_7G_9 + 185G_8G_9 \\ & + 224G_9^2 + 264W(1, 2) + 528W(2, 3) - 143W(2, 4) - 178W(3, 4) + 264W(1, 5) \\ & + 528W(2, 5) + 528W(3, 5) - 143W(4, 5) - 242W(2, 6) - 242W(3, 6) - 242W(5, 6) \\ & - 143W(1, 7) + 35W(3, 7) - 35W(4, 7) - 143W(1, 8) - 264W(3, 8) + 143W(4, 8) \\ & - 143W(7, 8), \\ \hat{L} = & 9G_{10} - 3G_{11} - 4G_2 + G_4 + G_6 + 7G_9. \end{aligned}$$

The following equation in $S_2^8(K(353))$ holds on all Fourier coefficients for $T \in {}^{277}\mathcal{X}_2$ satisfying $\det(2T) \leq 5000$. If we indeed have

$$(7.5) \quad Q^2 + \hat{L}QL + \hat{Q}L^2 = 0,$$

then the form f is holomorphic and $S_2^2(K(353))(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(f, G_1, \dots, G_{11})$. Furthermore, the form f is a Hecke eigenform with spinor Euler factors

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(f, x) &= 1 + x + 3x^2 + 2x^3 + 4x^4, \\ Q_3(f, x) &= 1 + 2x + 4x^2 + 6x^3 + 9x^4. \end{aligned}$$

§8. Examples for weights $k > 2$.

For weights greater than two, the constructions of paramodular cusp forms in this article become easier because the dimension formulae of Ibukiyama apply. Existence of a nonlift follows whenever we have $\dim S_2^k(K(p)) > \dim J_{k,p}^{\text{cusp}}$. Furthermore, nonlifts of higher weight occur at lower prime levels and identities and congruences may sometimes be proven directly from Corollary 5.7 and Theorem 5.9. As these nonlifts are the first examples likely to arise in related work, we give some examples here. Already, there has been interest in the weight three case. A. Ash, P. Gunnells and M. McConnell in [2] studied $H^5(\Gamma_0(p), \mathbb{C})$ and found cusp forms and computed Euler 2 and 3 factors at levels $p = 61, 73$ and 79 . They predicted the existence of corresponding Siegel modular cusp forms for $\Gamma'_0(p)$ and requested a construction. The paramodular cusp forms in $S_2^3(K(p))$ constructed here have matching Euler factors at 2 and 3; we additionally computed the Euler 5-factor. We thank A. Brumer for bringing this topic to our attention. Recall our normalization for the q -Euler factor of a Hecke eigenform $f \in S_2^k(K(p))$:

$$Q_q(f, x) = 1 - \lambda_q x + (\lambda_q^2 - \lambda_{q^2} - q^{2k-4})x^2 - \lambda_q q^{2k-3}x^3 + q^{4k-6}x^4.$$

These Euler factors possess the symmetry $x^4 q^{4k-6} Q_q(q^{3-2k}/x) = Q_q(x)$. The first three examples use theta blocks of weight 3:

$$\text{THBK}_3(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_9)(\tau, z) = \eta(\tau)^{-3} \prod_{i=1}^9 \vartheta(\tau, d_i z).$$

Example 1. We have $\dim S_2^3(K(61)) = 7$ and $\dim J_{3,61}^{\text{cusp}} = 6$. There is a nonlift Hecke eigenform $f \in S_2^3(K(61))^{-}(\mathbb{Z})$ with Euler factors:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(f, x) &= 1 + 7x + 24x^2 + 56x^3 + 64x^4, \\ Q_3(f, x) &= 1 + 3x + 3x^2 + 81x^3 + 729x^4, \\ Q_5(f, x) &= 1 - 3x + 85x^2 - 375x^3 + 15625x^4. \end{aligned}$$

For $\ell = 43$, f is congruent to an element of $\text{Grit}(J_{3,61}^{\text{cusp}})(\mathbb{Z})$ modulo ℓ and this is the only such congruence. We may define the nonlift f via

$$f = -9B[1] - 2B[2] + 22B[3] + 9B[4] - 10B[5] + 19B[6] - 43B[1]B[6]/B[2],$$

where, for $1 \leq i \leq 6$, the $B[i] = \text{Grit}(\text{THBK}_3(\Xi_i))$ are Gritsenko lifts of the theta blocks given by $\Xi_i = [2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 5, 7]$, $[2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 7]$, $[2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 6, 6]$, $[1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 7]$, $[1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 6, 6]$, $[1, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 4, 5, 6]$. The integrality of f may be checked, using Theorem 5.9, by computing the $a(T; f)$ to be integers for $T \in {}^{61}\mathcal{X}_2$ with $w(T) \leq \frac{3}{6} \frac{61^2+1}{61+1} < 30.02$; there are 1477 such $\hat{\Gamma}_0(61)$ -classes. Alternatively, we may note, as in the proof of Theorem 7.3, that the Fourier expansion of $B[2]$ has unit content because $a(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{244}{22} \frac{22}{2}); B[2]) = -1$.

Example 2. We have $\dim S_2^3(K(73)) = 9$ and $\dim J_{3,73}^{\text{cusp}} = 8$. There is a nonlift Hecke eigenform $f \in S_2^3(K(73))^{-}(\mathbb{Z})$ with Euler factors:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(f, x) &= 1 + 6x + 22x^2 + 48x^3 + 64x^4, \\ Q_3(f, x) &= 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 54x^3 + 729x^4, \\ Q_5(f, x) &= 1 + 130x^2 + 15625x^4. \end{aligned}$$

For $\ell \in \{3, 13\}$, f is congruent to an element of $\text{Grit}(J_{3,73}^{\text{cusp}})(\mathbb{Z})$ modulo ℓ and this is the only such congruence. We may define the nonlift $f =$

$$9B[1] + 19B[2] + 2B[3] - 13B[4] + 34B[5] - 15B[6] - 12B[7] - 10B[8] - 39B[2]B[6]/B[4],$$

where the $B[i] = \text{Grit}(\text{THBK}_3(\Xi_i))$ are the Gritsenko lifts of the theta blocks given, for $1 \leq i \leq 8$, by $\Xi_i = [2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 7]$, $[2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 5, 6, 6]$, $[2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 7]$, $[2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 6, 6]$, $[2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 5, 5, 5, 6]$, $[2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 6]$, $[2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 8]$, $[2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7]$. From the Fourier coefficient $a(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{146}{17} \frac{17}{2}); B[4]) = 1$, we see that the Fourier expansion of $B[4]$ has unit content, so that f is integral.

Example 3. We have $\dim S_2^3(K(79)) = 8$ and $\dim J_{3,79}^{\text{cusp}} = 7$. There is a nonlift Hecke eigenform $f \in S_2^3(K(79))^{-}(\mathbb{Z})$ with Euler factors:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(f, x) &= 1 + 5x + 14x^2 + 40x^3 + 64x^4, \\ Q_3(f, x) &= 1 + 5x + 42x^2 + 135x^3 + 729x^4, \\ Q_5(f, x) &= 1 - 3x + 80x^2 - 375x^3 + 15625x^4. \end{aligned}$$

For $\ell = 2$, f is congruent to an element of $\text{Grit}(J_{3,79}^{\text{cusp}})(\mathbb{Z})$ modulo ℓ^5 and this is the only such congruence. We may define the nonlift f via

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (-32B[1]^2 + 32B[2]^2 + 32B[1]B[3] - 64B[2]B[3] + 32B[3]^2 \\ &\quad + 26B[1]B[4] - 38B[2]B[4] + 19B[3]B[4] + 3B[4]^2 + 32B[1]B[5] \\ &\quad - 17B[4]B[5] - 32B[2]B[6] + 32B[3]B[6] + 27B[4]B[6] + 64B[1]B[7] \\ &\quad + 64B[2]B[7] - 96B[3]B[7] - 68B[4]B[7] - 32B[5]B[7] - 32B[6]B[7])/B[4], \end{aligned}$$

where the $B[i] = \text{Grit}(\text{THBK}_3(\Xi_i))$ are the Gritsenko lifts of the theta blocks given, for $1 \leq i \leq 7$, by $\Xi_i = [2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 5, 5, 8]$, $[2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 8]$, $[2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 5, 6, 7]$, $[2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 5, 9]$, $[1, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 8]$, $[1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 8]$, $[1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]$. From the Fourier coefficient $a(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1106}{47} \frac{47}{2}); B[4]) = -1$, we see that the Fourier expansion of $B[4]$ has unit content and so f is integral.

Example 4. We have $\dim S_2^4(K(83))^- = 1$. This is the lowest prime level for which a weight four minus form occurs. There is a nonlift Hecke eigenform $f \in S_2^4(K(83))^- (\mathbb{Z})$ with Euler factors:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(f, x) &= 1 + 17x + 132x^2 + 544x^3 + 1024x^4, \\ Q_3(f, x) &= 1 + 23x + 270x^2 + 5589x^3 + 59049x^4. \end{aligned}$$

We may define the nonlift f via

$$f = \frac{1}{48} (\text{Tr}(\vartheta_Q^2) - \text{Tr}(\vartheta_Q^2) | \mu) \text{ for } Q = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 8 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 & 44 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To prove the integrality of f , we use forms of determinant 83^2 and level 83:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 16 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 22 \end{pmatrix}; \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 42 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix}; \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 12 & 3 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 & 16 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 & 22 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 3.13, the form $\frac{1}{4} (\text{Tr}(\vartheta_Q \vartheta_B) - \text{Tr}(\vartheta_Q \vartheta_B) | \mu)$ is integral; it has leading coefficient 51. Also by Theorem 3.13, the form $\frac{1}{4} (\text{Tr}(\vartheta_C \vartheta_D) - \text{Tr}(\vartheta_C \vartheta_D) | \mu)$ is integral; it has leading coefficient $16 \cdot 23$. Some integer linear combination of the above two integral forms has leading coefficient 1 because 51 and $16 \cdot 23$ are relatively prime. Since the leading coefficient of f is 1 and the space $S_2^4(K(83))^-$ is one dimensional, f is itself integral.

§9. Appendix A: $p = 499$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 in §7 was incomplete in the case of $p = 499$ because we were unable to span $S_2^4(K(499))$. The proof is completed in this Appendix. Ibukiyama's dimension formula yields $\dim S_2^4(K(499)) = 503$ but we were only able to generate a plus subspace $\mathcal{S}^+$ of dimension at least 422 and a minus subspace $\mathcal{S}^-$ of dimension at least 80. Still, a subspace $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$ of codimension at most one in $S_2^4(K(499))$ is sufficient information to prove that $S_2^2(K(499))$ is spanned by Gritsenko lifts. Recall the notation ${}^N\mathcal{X}_2$, ${}^N\mathcal{X}'_2$, $\mathcal{H}_N(2)^\pm$ and $\mathcal{H}'_N(2)^\pm$ from section 6. For a prime ℓ and for any subspace $Z \subseteq M_2^k(K(N))$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, we set $Z(\mathbb{F}_\ell) = R_\ell(Z \cap M_2^k(K(N))(\mathbb{Z}))$. We use the following Lemma.

9.1 Lemma. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime. Let $g_1, g_2 \in S_2^2(K(N))^+(\mathbb{Z})$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$. Let $g_3, g_4 \in S_2^2(K(N))^+(\mathbb{Z})$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq S_2^4(K(N))$ be a subspace of codimension at most one defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Set $\mathcal{S}^\pm(2) =$*

$\mathcal{H}_N(2)^\pm \cap \mathcal{S}$. Set $\mathcal{S}^+(2)' = \mathcal{H}'_N(2)^+ \cap \mathcal{S}$. If $S_2^2(K(N))^+ \neq \text{Grit} \left(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}} \right)$ then there exist $(\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ such that the equation

$$(9.2) \quad H_2(\alpha R_\ell(g_1) + \beta R_\ell(g_2)) = H_1(\gamma R_\ell(g_3) + \delta R_\ell(g_4))$$

has a nontrivial solution $(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{S}^+(2)'(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \times \mathcal{S}^+(2)'(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

Proof. We know $\mathcal{S}^+(2)'$ is a rational subspace of $\mathcal{H}'_N(2)^+$ with codimension at most one. In the more difficult case, when the codimension equals one, there exists $b \in \mathcal{H}'_N(2)^+(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\mathcal{S}^+(2)'$ and b span $\mathcal{H}'_N(2)^+$. If $S_2^2(K(N))^+ \neq \text{Grit} \left(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}} \right)$ then there exists an $f \in S_2^2(K(N))^+(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \text{Grit} \left(J_{2,N}^{\text{cusp}} \right)$ with zero as its first Fourier-Jacobi coefficient and with $R_\ell(f) \neq 0$. For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we have $g_i f \in \mathcal{S}^+(2)' + r_i b$ for some $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, there are pairs of relatively prime integers (α, β) and (γ, δ) with $(\alpha g_1 + \beta g_2) f, (\gamma g_3 + \delta g_4) f \in \mathcal{S}^+(2)'(\mathbb{Z})$. These elements are nontrivial in $\mathcal{S}^+(2)'(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ because the ring $M_2(K(N))(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ does not have zero divisors. Calling the first image H_1 and the second H_2 , we have a nontrivial solution to equation 9.2. In the easier case, when $\mathcal{S}^+(2)' = \mathcal{H}'_N(2)^+$, equation 9.2 has nontrivial solutions for every pair $(\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. $\square$

9.3 Proposition. We have $S_2^2(K(499))^- = \{0\}$ and $S_2^2(K(499))^+ = \text{Grit} \left(J_{2,499}^{\text{cusp}} \right)$.

Proof. Since $\dim J_{2,499}^{\text{cusp}} = 18$, there are 18 linearly independent Gritsenko lifts $g_1, \dots, g_{18}$. We will use Lemma 9.1. Let $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in S_2^2(K(N))^+(\mathbb{Z})$ be chosen as the Gritsenko lifts of the theta blocks $[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 4, 31]$, $[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 11, 14, 26]$, $[1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 5, 13, 28]$, $[1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 7, 20, 23]$, respectively. The values for $\left(a \left(\begin{pmatrix} 499 & 9/2 \\ 9/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; g_i \right), a \left(\begin{pmatrix} 499 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; g_i \right) \right)$ are $(-1, 0), (1, -20), (-3, 0), (-1, 12)$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ respectively, so that g_1 and g_2 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{19}$, as are g_3 and g_4 .

Define $\mathcal{S}^+ = \text{Span}(T_j(g_\alpha g_\beta) : 1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 18, j = 1, 2, \dots, 7) \subseteq S_2^4(K(499))^+$. Computing the action of these Hecke operators required 565,010 Fourier coefficients. Define $\mathcal{S}^- = \text{Span}(\text{Tr}(\vartheta_P \vartheta_Q) - \text{Tr}(\vartheta_P \vartheta_Q)|\mu : P, Q \in \mathcal{A}) \subseteq S_2^4(K(499))^-$ for the 120 pairs (P, Q) given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 18 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 20 & 2 & 5 \\ 0 & 2 & 28 & 13 \\ 2 & 5 & 13 & 32 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 1 & 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 16 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 28 & 10 \\ -3 & 2 & 10 & 40 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 7 & 6 & -4 \\ 7 & 22 & 8 & -2 \\ 6 & 8 & 24 & 5 \\ -4 & -2 & 5 & 34 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 18 & 4 & 5 & -6 \\ 4 & 24 & 10 & 3 \\ 5 & 10 & 24 & 0 \\ -6 & 3 & 0 & 34 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 4 & 5 & -2 \\ 4 & 22 & 10 & 1 \\ 5 & 10 & 26 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & 36 \end{pmatrix}, \right. \\ \left. \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 3 & 6 & 0 \\ 3 & 18 & 8 & -2 \\ 6 & 8 & 30 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 36 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 5 & 2 & 5 \\ 5 & 26 & 8 & 10 \\ 2 & 8 & 28 & 7 \\ 5 & 10 & 7 & 30 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 24 & 2 & 11 \\ -1 & 2 & 24 & 7 \\ -2 & 11 & 7 & 38 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 5 & 5 & 3 \\ 5 & 26 & 10 & 10 \\ 5 & 10 & 30 & 13 \\ 3 & 10 & 13 & 32 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 18 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 20 & 6 \\ -1 & 2 & 6 & 52 \end{pmatrix}, \right. \\ \left. \begin{pmatrix} 18 & 1 & 5 & -2 \\ 1 & 20 & 3 & -3 \\ 5 & 3 & 24 & 6 \\ -2 & -3 & 6 & 34 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 3 & 6 & -2 \\ 3 & 22 & 9 & 9 \\ 6 & 9 & 28 & 11 \\ -2 & 9 & 11 & 32 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 4 & 1 & 9 \\ 4 & 24 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 26 & 5 \\ 9 & 5 & 5 & 26 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 0 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 22 & 5 & 1 \\ -3 & 5 & 22 & 9 \\ 2 & 1 & 9 & 32 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 18 & 3 & -4 & -7 \\ 3 & 20 & 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 & 24 & 3 \\ -7 & -1 & 3 & 34 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let E be the 1515 $\hat{\Gamma}_0(499)$ -classes of indices $T \in {}^{499}\mathcal{X}_2$ with $\det(2T) \leq 716$ and let π_E be the projection onto these Fourier coefficients. From $\dim \pi_E \circ R_{19}(\mathcal{S}^+) = 422$, we see that $\dim \mathcal{S}^+ \geq 422$. Let F be the 610 $\hat{\Gamma}_0(499)$ -classes of indices $T \in {}^{499}\mathcal{X}_2$ with $\det(2T) \leq 375$ and let π_F be the projection onto these Fourier coefficients. From $\dim \pi_F \circ R_{19}(\mathcal{S}^-) = 80$,

we see that $\dim \mathcal{S}^- \geq 80$. Since $\dim S_2^4(K(499)) = 503$, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$ is a subspace of codimension at most one; we have $\dim \mathcal{S}^+ = 422 + \epsilon$ and $\dim \mathcal{S}^- = 80 + 1 - \epsilon$ where $\epsilon = 0$ or 1 is the (unknown to us) codimension of $\mathcal{S}^+$ in $S_2^4(K(499))^+$. For later use, note that $\dim \ker \pi_F \circ R_{19} \leq 1 - \epsilon \leq 1$.

Let $\mathcal{S}^+(2)'_E = \{f \in \mathcal{S}^+ : \forall T \in {}^{499}\mathcal{X}_2 \setminus ({}^{499}\mathcal{X}_2 + {}^{499}\mathcal{X}'_2) : [T] \in E, a(T; f) = 0\}$. We have $\mathcal{S}^+(2)' \subseteq \mathcal{S}^+(2)'_E \subseteq \mathcal{S}^+$. We now use Lemma 9.1 to show that $S_2^2(K(499))^+ = \text{Grit}(J_{2,499}^{\text{cusp}})$. We proceed by contradiction: if $S_2^2(K(499))^+ \neq \text{Grit}(J_{2,499}^{\text{cusp}})$ then there exist $(\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{19})$ such that the equation 9.2 has a nontrivial solution $(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{S}^+(2)'(\mathbb{F}_{19}) \times \mathcal{S}^+(2)'(\mathbb{F}_{19})$. For each of the $(19+1)^2 = 400$ lists $((\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta)) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{19}) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{19})$ we checked that, for $(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{S}^+(2)'_E(\mathbb{F}_{19}) \times \mathcal{S}^+(2)'_E(\mathbb{F}_{19})$, equation 9.2 has only the trivial solution. This contradiction proves the second assertion.

Next we show that $S_2^2(K(499))^- = \{0\}$. We calculated $\dim \pi_F \circ R_{19}(\mathcal{S}^-(2)) = 9$; therefore $\dim \mathcal{S}^-(2) \leq 9 + 1 - \epsilon \leq 10$ since the kernel of $\pi_F \circ R_{19}$ has dimension at most $1 - \epsilon$. Since $\mathcal{S}^-(2) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{499}^-(2)$ has codimension at most one, we have $\dim \mathcal{H}_{499}^-(2) \leq 11$. We show $S_2^2(K(499))^- = \{0\}$ by contradiction: If there were a nontrivial $f \in S_2^2(K(499))^-$, then there would be an 18-dimensional subspace $\text{Span}(fg_i) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{499}^-(2)$; however, $\mathcal{H}_{499}^-(2)$ is a space of dimension at most 11. Therefore $S_2^2(K(499))^- = \{0\}$. $\square$

§10. Appendix B: Tables of Fourier coefficients.

In this Appendix, we explain how to read the Tables of Fourier coefficients. Each form $f \in S_2^k(K(N))$ has a Fourier expansion

$$f(\Omega) = \sum_{T \in {}^N\mathcal{X}_2} a(T) e(\langle \Omega, T \rangle).$$

For $T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$, the column “Unreduced form” gives a, b, c . The column “Coeff” gives the value of $a \left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \right)$. The “Det” column gives $\det(2T)$. For example, the ninth entry row of the Table for $p = 277$ gives

$$a \left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 277554 & 1825 \\ 1825 & 12 \end{pmatrix} \right) = -5 \text{ and } \det \begin{pmatrix} 277554 & 1825 \\ 1825 & 12 \end{pmatrix} = 23.$$

Note that $277554 = 1002 \cdot 277$ and so

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 277554 & 1825 \\ 1825 & 12 \end{pmatrix} \in {}^{277}\mathcal{X}_2.$$

For $U \in \hat{\Gamma}_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : N|c \right\}$, the Fourier coefficients satisfy

$$a(U'TU) = \det(U)^k a(T).$$

Since $k = 2$ in these tables, the Fourier coefficients are $\hat{\Gamma}_0(N)$ -invariant. The “Unreduced form” gives one representative from each $\hat{\Gamma}_0(N)$ -class in ${}^N\mathcal{X}_2$. The entries are in order of increasing determinant so that one can see when the $\hat{\Gamma}_0(N)$ -classes from ${}^N\mathcal{X}_2$ for a

particular determinant are exhausted. Since $D = \det(2T) = ac - b^2 \equiv -b^2 \pmod{N}$, only determinants D with $-D$ a quadratic residue modulo N appear.

Plus and Minus Spaces. Each eigenform in the Tables satisfies $f|\mu = \epsilon f$ with $\epsilon = \pm 1$. The Fourier coefficients then satisfy $a(\text{Twin}(T)) = \epsilon a(T)$, where $\text{Twin}(T) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} Nc & -b \\ -b & a/N \end{pmatrix}$. For example, for $D = 23$, we have seen

$$a\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 277 \cdot 1002 & 1825 \\ 1825 & 12 \end{pmatrix}\right) = -5.$$

Since the nonlift for $p = 277$ is in the μ -plus space we also have

$$a\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 277 \cdot 12 & -1825 \\ -1825 & 1002 \end{pmatrix}\right) = -5.$$

However, since the entries in the “Unreduced form” column are noncanonical, it is not immediately clear which entry this corresponds to.

To remedy this we let $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ act on $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ as $(T, v) \mapsto (U'TU, U^{-1}v)$. Recall that $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \gcd(a, b) = 1 \right\} / \sim$, where $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ if and only if $\exists \mu \in \mathbb{Z} : \mu a \equiv c \pmod{N}$ and $\mu b \equiv d \pmod{N}$. If we identify ${}^N\mathcal{X}_2$ with ${}^N\mathcal{X}_2 \times \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, then for $T_1, T_2 \in {}^N\mathcal{X}_2$, we have that $(T_1, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$ is $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent to $(T_2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$ if and only if T_1 is $\hat{\Gamma}_0(N)$ -equivalent to T_2 . For each $T_1 \in {}^N\mathcal{X}_2$, we $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ reduce $(T_1, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$ to a (T, v) with T Legendre reduced, that is $0 \leq 2b \leq a \leq c$. The column “Reduced form” lists $a, b, c \mid \alpha, \beta$ for $(T, v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \right)$. This is canonical except for the small number of choices for v : We have the $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence $(T, v_1) \sim (T, v_2) \iff \exists U \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T) : v_1 = Uv_2$.

Going back to the example, we reduce

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 277 \cdot 12 & -1825 \\ -1825 & 1002 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \sim \left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 27 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

To see this, use $U = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & -6 \\ 20 & -11 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that

$$U' \begin{pmatrix} 277 \cdot 12 & -1825 \\ -1825 & 1002 \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 20 \\ -6 & -11 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 277 \cdot 12 & -1825 \\ -1825 & 1002 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 11 & -6 \\ 20 & -11 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & -6 \\ 20 & -11 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 27 \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{F}_{277})$$

since $\begin{vmatrix} 11 & 1 \\ 20 & 27 \end{vmatrix} = 277$. This explains why the Fourier coefficient for the tenth entry $D = 23$; and Reduced form “4, 1, 6 $\mid$ 1, 27” is also -5 .

If one wishes to move from a reduced form (T, v) to an unreduced form, one simply takes $U'TU$ for a $U \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ whose first column is $v \pmod{N}$. For example, for $D = 23$ again, take the reduced form from row eleven:

$$(T, v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 65 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

For $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 65 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ we have

$$U'TU = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 65 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 65 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 25484 & 391 \\ 391 & 6 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is exactly the unreduced entry because the “Unreduced form” column was constructed in just this way. In each of the following tables, for each determinant D , either all or none of the $\hat{\Gamma}_0(N)$ -classes with determinant D are represented. For $(p, \epsilon) = (277, +)$, $(349, +)$, $(353, +)$, $(389, +)$, $(461, +)$, $(523, +)$, $(587, -)$ and $(587, +)$, the following short tables give Fourier coefficients for a nonlift $f \in S_2^2(K(p))^\epsilon(\mathbb{Z})$. Larger tables of Fourier coefficients are at [24].

LEVEL 277

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
-3	3	2, 1, 2; 1, 116	27146, 233, 2
-2	4	2, 0, 2; 1, 60	7202, 120, 2
-1	7	2, 1, 4; 1, 150	90302, 601, 4
-3	12	2, 0, 6; 1, 107	68696, 642, 6
6	12	4, 2, 4; 1, 116	54292, 466, 4
-5	16	2, 0, 8; 1, 30	7202, 240, 8
6	16	4, 0, 4; 1, 60	14404, 240, 4
-2	19	2, 1, 10; 1, 52	27146, 521, 10
-5	23	2, 1, 12; 1, 152	277554, 1825, 12
-5	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 27	4432, 163, 6
10	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 65	25484, 391, 6
0	27	2, 1, 14; 1, 129	233234, 1807, 14
6	27	6, 3, 6; 1, 116	81438, 699, 6
-9	28	2, 0, 14; 1, 112	175618, 1568, 14
4	28	4, 2, 8; 1, 150	180604, 1202, 8
8	36	2, 0, 18; 1, 20	7202, 360, 18
-7	36	4, 2, 10; 1, 130	169524, 1302, 10
2	36	6, 0, 6; 1, 60	21606, 360, 6
4	39	2, 1, 20; 1, 21	8864, 421, 20
4	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 42	17728, 421, 10
4	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 124	154012, 1241, 10
-11	39	6, 3, 8; 1, 224	402758, 1795, 8
-3	40	2, 0, 20; 1, 103	212182, 2060, 20
-3	40	4, 0, 10; 1, 71	50414, 710, 10

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
3	47	2, 1, 24; 1, 105	264812, 2521, 24
3	47	4, 1, 12; 1, 113	153458, 1357, 12
-12	47	4, 1, 12; 1, 210	529624, 2521, 12
3	47	6, 1, 8; 1, 31	7756, 249, 8
3	47	6, 1, 8; 1, 38	11634, 305, 8
6	48	2, 0, 24; 1, 85	173402, 2040, 24
0	48	4, 0, 12; 1, 107	137392, 1284, 12
-9	48	6, 0, 8; 1, 22	3878, 176, 8
3	48	8, 4, 8; 1, 116	108584, 932, 8
-5	52	2, 0, 26; 1, 74	142378, 1924, 26
10	52	4, 2, 14; 1, 179	449294, 2508, 14
7	55	2, 1, 28; 1, 16	7202, 449, 28
-8	55	4, 1, 14; 1, 32	14404, 449, 14
7	55	4, 1, 14; 1, 47	31024, 659, 14
-8	55	8, 3, 8; 1, 82	54292, 659, 8
1	59	2, 1, 30; 1, 44	58170, 1321, 30
1	59	6, 1, 10; 1, 34	11634, 341, 10
1	59	6, 1, 10; 1, 132	174510, 1321, 10
4	63	2, 1, 32; 1, 39	48752, 1249, 32
4	63	4, 1, 16; 1, 78	97504, 1249, 16
4	63	4, 1, 16; 1, 95	144594, 1521, 16
1	63	6, 3, 12; 1, 150	270906, 1803, 12
-11	63	8, 1, 8; 1, 156	195008, 1249, 8
-1	64	2, 0, 32; 1, 15	7202, 480, 32
4	64	4, 0, 16; 1, 30	14404, 480, 16
-4	64	8, 0, 8; 1, 60	28808, 480, 8
-1	64	8, 4, 10; 1, 7	554, 74, 10

LEVEL 349

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
3	3	2, 1, 2; 1, 122	30014, 245, 2
2	4	2, 0, 2; 1, 136	36994, 272, 2
1	12	2, 0, 6; 1, 151	136808, 906, 6
-6	12	4, 2, 4; 1, 122	60028, 490, 4
6	15	2, 1, 8; 1, 110	97022, 881, 8
-7	15	4, 1, 4; 1, 220	194044, 881, 4
3	16	2, 0, 8; 1, 68	36994, 544, 8
-6	16	4, 0, 4; 1, 136	73988, 544, 4
4	19	2, 1, 10; 1, 22	4886, 221, 10
7	20	2, 0, 10; 1, 81	65612, 810, 10
-6	20	4, 2, 6; 1, 251	379014, 1508, 6
0	23	2, 1, 12; 1, 148	263146, 1777, 12
0	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 169	171708, 1015, 6
0	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 296	526292, 1777, 6
-2	27	2, 1, 14; 1, 97	131922, 1359, 14
-6	27	6, 3, 6; 1, 122	90042, 735, 6
-9	31	2, 1, 16; 1, 54	46766, 865, 16
4	31	4, 1, 8; 1, 108	93532, 865, 8
4	31	4, 1, 8; 1, 328	861332, 2625, 8
5	36	2, 0, 18; 1, 71	90740, 1278, 18
-8	36	4, 2, 10; 1, 221	489298, 2212, 10
-2	36	6, 0, 6; 1, 136	110982, 816, 6
2	48	2, 0, 24; 1, 99	235226, 2376, 24
4	48	4, 0, 12; 1, 151	273616, 1812, 12
-11	48	6, 0, 8; 1, 52	21638, 416, 8
3	48	8, 4, 8; 1, 122	120056, 980, 8

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
-4	51	2, 1, 26; 1, 43	48162, 1119, 26
9	51	6, 3, 10; 1, 167	279898, 1673, 10
-6	56	2, 0, 28; 1, 18	9074, 504, 28
7	56	4, 0, 14; 1, 36	18148, 504, 14
-6	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 89	79572, 892, 10
7	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 120	144486, 1202, 10
-10	60	2, 0, 30; 1, 82	201722, 2460, 30
2	60	4, 2, 16; 1, 110	194044, 1762, 16
3	60	6, 0, 10; 1, 103	106096, 1030, 10
2	60	8, 2, 8; 1, 220	388088, 1762, 8
-6	64	2, 0, 32; 1, 34	36994, 1088, 32
0	64	4, 0, 16; 1, 68	73988, 1088, 16
8	64	8, 0, 8; 1, 136	147976, 1088, 8
-6	64	8, 4, 10; 1, 170	290368, 1704, 10
13	67	2, 1, 34; 1, 190	1227782, 6461, 34
4	68	2, 0, 34; 1, 75	191252, 2550, 34
-9	68	4, 2, 18; 1, 64	73988, 1154, 18
4	68	6, 2, 12; 1, 20	4886, 242, 12
4	68	6, 2, 12; 1, 96	110982, 1154, 12
-5	75	2, 1, 38; 1, 271	2791302, 10299, 38
8	75	6, 3, 14; 1, 12	2094, 171, 14
-3	75	10, 5, 10; 1, 122	150070, 1225, 10
-3	76	2, 0, 38; 1, 30	34202, 1140, 38
-8	76	4, 2, 20; 1, 22	9772, 442, 20
-3	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 44	19544, 442, 10
10	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 165	272918, 1652, 10

LEVEL 353

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
2	4	2, 0, 2; 1, 42	3530, 84, 2
1	8	2, 0, 4; 1, 23	2118, 92, 4
1	11	2, 1, 6; 1, 246	363590, 1477, 6
0	15	2, 1, 8; 1, 183	268280, 1465, 8
0	15	4, 1, 4; 1, 13	706, 53, 4
3	16	2, 0, 8; 1, 21	3530, 168, 8
-4	16	4, 0, 4; 1, 42	7060, 168, 4
-3	19	2, 1, 10; 1, 238	566918, 2381, 10
4	23	2, 1, 12; 1, 65	50832, 781, 12
4	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 105	66364, 631, 6
-7	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 130	101664, 781, 6
-4	32	2, 0, 16; 1, 165	435602, 2640, 16
-2	32	4, 0, 8; 1, 23	4236, 184, 8
7	32	6, 2, 6; 1, 148	132022, 890, 6
1	35	2, 1, 18; 1, 57	58598, 1027, 18
1	35	6, 1, 6; 1, 64	24710, 385, 6
1	36	2, 0, 18; 1, 14	3530, 252, 18
1	36	4, 2, 10; 1, 25	6354, 252, 10
-4	36	6, 0, 6; 1, 42	10590, 252, 6
-5	39	2, 1, 20; 1, 56	62834, 1121, 20
-5	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 29	8472, 291, 10
6	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 112	125668, 1121, 10
6	39	6, 3, 8; 1, 36	10590, 291, 8
-5	43	2, 1, 22; 1, 130	372062, 2861, 22

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
-3	44	2, 0, 22; 1, 38	31770, 836, 22
-1	44	4, 2, 12; 1, 246	727180, 2954, 12
8	44	6, 2, 8; 1, 16	2118, 130, 8
-3	44	6, 2, 8; 1, 160	205446, 1282, 8
-2	47	2, 1, 24; 1, 215	1109832, 5161, 24
-2	47	4, 1, 12; 1, 77	71306, 925, 12
-2	47	4, 1, 12; 1, 217	565506, 2605, 12
9	47	6, 1, 8; 1, 149	177912, 1193, 8
-2	47	6, 1, 8; 1, 292	682702, 2337, 8
0	60	2, 0, 30; 1, 20	12002, 600, 30
0	60	4, 2, 16; 1, 183	536560, 2930, 16
0	60	6, 0, 10; 1, 60	36006, 600, 10
0	60	8, 2, 8; 1, 13	1412, 106, 8
-5	64	2, 0, 32; 1, 166	881794, 5312, 32
1	64	4, 0, 16; 1, 21	7060, 336, 16
-2	64	8, 0, 8; 1, 42	14120, 336, 8
6	64	8, 4, 10; 1, 245	602218, 2454, 10
8	68	2, 0, 34; 1, 78	206858, 2652, 34
-3	68	4, 2, 18; 1, 108	210388, 1946, 18
-3	68	6, 2, 12; 1, 73	64246, 878, 12
-3	68	6, 2, 12; 1, 162	315582, 1946, 12
4	72	2, 0, 36; 1, 110	435602, 3960, 36
4	72	4, 0, 18; 1, 133	318406, 2394, 18
-4	72	6, 0, 12; 1, 23	6354, 276, 12
-2	76	2, 0, 38; 1, 51	98840, 1938, 38
3	76	4, 2, 20; 1, 238	1133836, 4762, 20
-2	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 123	151790, 1232, 10
-2	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 159	253454, 1592, 10

LEVEL 389

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
-2	4	2, 0, 2; 1, 115	26452, 230, 2
1	7	2, 1, 4; 1, 46	8558, 185, 4
-1	11	2, 1, 6; 1, 59	21006, 355, 6
-2	16	2, 0, 8; 1, 137	150154, 1096, 8
4	16	4, 0, 4; 1, 115	52904, 460, 4
-3	19	2, 1, 10; 1, 44	19450, 441, 10
-5	20	2, 0, 10; 1, 33	10892, 330, 10
5	20	4, 2, 6; 1, 204	250516, 1226, 6
-1	24	2, 0, 12; 1, 18	3890, 216, 12
-1	24	4, 0, 6; 1, 36	7780, 216, 6
5	28	2, 0, 14; 1, 82	94138, 1148, 14
-3	28	4, 2, 8; 1, 46	17116, 370, 8
4	35	2, 1, 18; 1, 41	30342, 739, 18
-6	35	6, 1, 6; 1, 123	91026, 739, 6
0	36	2, 0, 18; 1, 168	508034, 3024, 18
0	36	4, 2, 10; 1, 242	586612, 2422, 10
4	36	6, 0, 6; 1, 115	79356, 690, 6
3	44	2, 0, 22; 1, 103	233400, 2266, 22
1	44	4, 2, 12; 1, 59	42012, 710, 12
3	44	6, 2, 8; 1, 283	641850, 2266, 8
-7	44	6, 2, 8; 1, 300	721206, 2402, 8
3	52	2, 0, 26; 1, 48	59906, 1248, 26
-7	52	4, 2, 14; 1, 89	111254, 1248, 14

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
3	55	2, 1, 28; 1, 285	2274872, 7981, 28
3	55	4, 1, 14; 1, 181	459020, 2535, 14
3	55	4, 1, 14; 1, 319	1425296, 4467, 14
-7	55	8, 3, 8; 1, 122	119812, 979, 8
-1	59	2, 1, 30; 1, 166	827014, 4981, 30
-1	59	6, 1, 10; 1, 109	119034, 1091, 10
-1	59	6, 1, 10; 1, 202	408450, 2021, 10
-5	63	2, 1, 32; 1, 323	3339176, 10337, 32
-5	63	4, 1, 16; 1, 257	1057302, 4113, 16
5	63	4, 1, 16; 1, 375	2250754, 6001, 16
-2	63	6, 3, 12; 1, 46	25674, 555, 12
5	63	8, 1, 8; 1, 125	125258, 1001, 8
2	64	2, 0, 32; 1, 126	508034, 4032, 32
-2	64	4, 0, 16; 1, 137	300308, 2192, 16
0	64	8, 0, 8; 1, 115	105808, 920, 8
2	64	8, 4, 10; 1, 141	199946, 1414, 10
-2	67	2, 1, 34; 1, 269	2460814, 9147, 34
3	68	2, 0, 34; 1, 31	32676, 1054, 34
3	68	4, 2, 18; 1, 71	91026, 1280, 18
3	68	6, 2, 12; 1, 301	1088422, 3614, 12
-7	68	6, 2, 12; 1, 347	1446302, 4166, 12

LEVEL 461

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
2	4	2, 0, 2; 1, 48	4610, 96, 2
1	16	2, 0, 8; 1, 24	4610, 192, 8
-2	16	4, 0, 4; 1, 48	9220, 192, 4
-1	19	2, 1, 10; 1, 202	408446, 2021, 10
-3	20	2, 0, 10; 1, 44	19362, 440, 10
4	20	4, 2, 6; 1, 73	32270, 440, 6
-2	23	2, 1, 12; 1, 104	130002, 1249, 12
-2	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 99	59008, 595, 6
5	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 208	260004, 1249, 6
-1	24	2, 0, 12; 1, 98	115250, 1176, 12
-1	24	4, 0, 6; 1, 196	230500, 1176, 6
2	36	2, 0, 18; 1, 16	4610, 288, 18
2	36	4, 2, 10; 1, 213	454546, 2132, 10
-6	36	6, 0, 6; 1, 48	13830, 288, 6
4	39	2, 1, 20; 1, 133	354048, 2661, 20
-3	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 266	708096, 2661, 10
4	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 287	824268, 2871, 10
-3	39	6, 3, 8; 1, 128	131846, 1027, 8
4	43	2, 1, 22; 1, 31	21206, 683, 22
0	56	2, 0, 28; 1, 162	734834, 4536, 28
0	56	4, 0, 14; 1, 137	262770, 1918, 14
0	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 269	724692, 2692, 10
0	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 376	1415270, 3762, 10
4	59	2, 1, 30; 1, 54	87590, 1621, 30
-3	59	6, 1, 10; 1, 162	262770, 1621, 10
-3	59	6, 1, 10; 1, 391	1529598, 3911, 10

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
0	64	2, 0, 32; 1, 12	4610, 384, 32
2	64	4, 0, 16; 1, 24	9220, 384, 16
-4	64	8, 0, 8; 1, 48	18440, 384, 8
0	64	8, 4, 10; 1, 38	14752, 384, 10
2	67	2, 1, 34; 1, 347	4094602, 11799, 34
-2	68	2, 0, 34; 1, 76	196386, 2584, 34
5	68	4, 2, 18; 1, 10	1844, 182, 18
-2	68	6, 2, 12; 1, 15	2766, 182, 12
-2	68	6, 2, 12; 1, 292	1024342, 3506, 12
2	76	2, 0, 38; 1, 112	476674, 4256, 38
0	76	4, 2, 20; 1, 202	816892, 4042, 20
-5	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 241	581782, 2412, 10
2	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 404	1633784, 4042, 10
2	80	2, 0, 40; 1, 22	19362, 880, 40
-4	80	4, 0, 20; 1, 44	38724, 880, 20
2	80	6, 2, 14; 1, 392	2152870, 5490, 14
2	80	6, 2, 14; 1, 398	2219254, 5574, 14
-5	80	8, 0, 10; 1, 88	77448, 880, 10
3	80	8, 4, 12; 1, 73	64540, 880, 12
5	84	2, 0, 42; 1, 30	37802, 1260, 42
-2	84	4, 2, 22; 1, 141	437950, 3104, 22
-2	84	6, 0, 14; 1, 90	113406, 1260, 14
5	84	10, 4, 10; 1, 58	34114, 584, 10
2	87	2, 1, 44; 1, 137	826112, 6029, 44
-5	87	4, 1, 22; 1, 145	462844, 3191, 22
2	87	4, 1, 22; 1, 274	1652224, 6029, 22
2	87	6, 3, 16; 1, 84	113406, 1347, 16
2	87	8, 3, 12; 1, 112	151208, 1347, 12
-5	87	8, 3, 12; 1, 118	167804, 1419, 12

LEVEL 523

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
-3	3	2, 1, 2; 1, 60	7322, 121, 2
-2	8	2, 0, 4; 1, 28	3138, 112, 4
-1	12	2, 0, 6; 1, 134	107738, 804, 6
3	12	4, 2, 4; 1, 60	14644, 242, 4
1	20	2, 0, 10; 1, 124	153762, 1240, 10
1	20	4, 2, 6; 1, 32	6276, 194, 6
2	27	2, 1, 14; 1, 250	875502, 3501, 14
3	27	6, 3, 6; 1, 60	21966, 363, 6
-2	32	2, 0, 16; 1, 14	3138, 224, 16
4	32	4, 0, 8; 1, 28	6276, 224, 8
-2	32	6, 2, 6; 1, 37	8368, 224, 6
-2	35	2, 1, 18; 1, 228	936170, 4105, 18
-2	35	6, 1, 6; 1, 13	1046, 79, 6
3	39	2, 1, 20; 1, 25	12552, 501, 20
3	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 50	25104, 501, 10
3	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 159	253132, 1591, 10
-7	39	6, 3, 8; 1, 193	299156, 1547, 8
7	47	2, 1, 24; 1, 80	153762, 1921, 24
-3	47	4, 1, 12; 1, 160	307524, 1921, 12
-3	47	4, 1, 12; 1, 450	2430904, 5401, 12
-3	47	6, 1, 8; 1, 152	185142, 1217, 8
-3	47	6, 1, 8; 1, 240	461286, 1921, 8
0	48	2, 0, 24; 1, 67	107738, 1608, 24
-2	48	4, 0, 12; 1, 134	215476, 1608, 12
0	48	6, 0, 8; 1, 201	323214, 1608, 8
6	48	8, 4, 8; 1, 60	29288, 484, 8

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
5	51	2, 1, 26; 1, 47	57530, 1223, 26
-5	51	6, 3, 10; 1, 122	149578, 1223, 10
4	55	2, 1, 28; 1, 22	13598, 617, 28
4	55	4, 1, 14; 1, 44	27196, 617, 14
-6	55	4, 1, 14; 1, 180	453964, 2521, 14
-6	55	8, 3, 8; 1, 184	271960, 1475, 8
0	56	2, 0, 28; 1, 41	47070, 1148, 28
0	56	4, 0, 14; 1, 82	94140, 1148, 14
0	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 10	1046, 102, 10
0	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 408	1666278, 4082, 10
-4	59	2, 1, 30; 1, 100	300202, 3001, 30
-4	59	6, 1, 10; 1, 300	900606, 3001, 10
6	59	6, 1, 10; 1, 432	1867110, 4321, 10
5	67	2, 1, 34; 1, 214	1557494, 7277, 34
0	72	2, 0, 36; 1, 165	980102, 5940, 36
0	72	4, 0, 18; 1, 193	670486, 3474, 18
4	72	6, 0, 12; 1, 28	9414, 336, 12
-3	75	2, 1, 38; 1, 126	603542, 4789, 38
-3	75	6, 3, 14; 1, 43	26150, 605, 14
12	75	10, 5, 10; 1, 60	36610, 605, 10
-8	79	2, 1, 40; 1, 324	4199690, 12961, 40
2	79	4, 1, 20; 1, 125	312754, 2501, 20
-8	79	4, 1, 20; 1, 241	1162106, 4821, 20
12	79	8, 1, 10; 1, 250	625508, 2501, 10
2	79	8, 1, 10; 1, 482	2324212, 4821, 10

LEVEL 587 minus space

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
0	8	2, 0, 4; 1, 190	144402, 760, 4
0	11	2, 1, 6; 1, 485	1412322, 2911, 6
1	15	2, 1, 8; 1, 17	2348, 137, 8
-1	15	4, 1, 4; 1, 34	4696, 137, 4
0	19	2, 1, 10; 1, 64	41090, 641, 10
1	20	2, 0, 10; 1, 86	73962, 860, 10
-1	20	4, 2, 6; 1, 52	16436, 314, 6
-1	23	2, 1, 12; 1, 67	54004, 805, 12
0	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 134	108008, 805, 6
1	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 257	396812, 1543, 6
-1	24	2, 0, 12; 1, 275	907502, 3300, 12
1	24	4, 0, 6; 1, 37	8218, 222, 6
-1	32	2, 0, 16; 1, 95	144402, 1520, 16
0	32	4, 0, 8; 1, 190	288804, 1520, 8
1	32	6, 2, 6; 1, 253	385072, 1520, 6
-1	35	2, 1, 18; 1, 18	5870, 325, 18
1	35	6, 1, 6; 1, 54	17610, 325, 6
0	39	2, 1, 20; 1, 71	100964, 1421, 20
1	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 142	201928, 1421, 10
0	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 210	441424, 2101, 10
-1	39	6, 3, 8; 1, 324	841758, 2595, 8
0	44	2, 0, 22; 1, 269	1591944, 5918, 22
0	44	4, 2, 12; 1, 485	2824644, 5822, 12
1	44	6, 2, 8; 1, 434	1508590, 3474, 8
-1	44	6, 2, 8; 1, 446	1593118, 3570, 8

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
-1	52	2, 0, 26; 1, 266	1839658, 6916, 26
1	52	4, 2, 14; 1, 9	1174, 128, 14
1	56	2, 0, 28; 1, 155	672702, 4340, 28
0	56	4, 0, 14; 1, 277	1074210, 3878, 14
-1	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 199	396812, 1992, 10
0	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 505	2552276, 5052, 10
0	60	2, 0, 30; 1, 30	27002, 900, 30
-1	60	4, 2, 16; 1, 17	4696, 274, 16
0	60	6, 0, 10; 1, 90	81006, 900, 10
1	60	8, 2, 8; 1, 34	9392, 274, 8
1	71	2, 1, 36; 1, 224	1806786, 8065, 36
-1	71	4, 1, 18; 1, 448	3613572, 8065, 18
-1	71	4, 1, 18; 1, 465	3892984, 8371, 18
-1	71	6, 1, 12; 1, 85	86876, 1021, 12
1	71	6, 1, 12; 1, 404	1959406, 4849, 12
1	71	8, 3, 10; 1, 15	2348, 153, 10
0	71	8, 3, 10; 1, 454	2063892, 4543, 10
1	72	2, 0, 36; 1, 259	2414918, 9324, 36
-1	72	4, 0, 18; 1, 69	85702, 1242, 18
0	72	6, 0, 12; 1, 190	433206, 2280, 12
0	76	2, 0, 38; 1, 250	2375002, 9500, 38
0	76	4, 2, 20; 1, 64	82180, 1282, 20
0	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 128	164360, 1282, 10
0	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 576	3320072, 5762, 10

LEVEL 587 plus space

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
2	8	2, 0, 4; 1, 190	144402, 760, 4
2	11	2, 1, 6; 1, 485	1412322, 2911, 6
-1	15	2, 1, 8; 1, 17	2348, 137, 8
-1	15	4, 1, 4; 1, 34	4696, 137, 4
2	19	2, 1, 10; 1, 64	41090, 641, 10
1	20	2, 0, 10; 1, 86	73962, 860, 10
1	20	4, 2, 6; 1, 52	16436, 314, 6
-3	23	2, 1, 12; 1, 67	54004, 805, 12
8	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 134	108008, 805, 6
-3	23	4, 1, 6; 1, 257	396812, 1543, 6
1	24	2, 0, 12; 1, 275	907502, 3300, 12
1	24	4, 0, 6; 1, 37	8218, 222, 6
3	32	2, 0, 16; 1, 95	144402, 1520, 16
-4	32	4, 0, 8; 1, 190	288804, 1520, 8
3	32	6, 2, 6; 1, 253	385072, 1520, 6
1	35	2, 1, 18; 1, 18	5870, 325, 18
1	35	6, 1, 6; 1, 54	17610, 325, 6
-6	39	2, 1, 20; 1, 71	100964, 1421, 20
5	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 142	201928, 1421, 10
-6	39	4, 1, 10; 1, 210	441424, 2101, 10
5	39	6, 3, 8; 1, 324	841758, 2595, 8
-6	44	2, 0, 22; 1, 269	1591944, 5918, 22
-2	44	4, 2, 12; 1, 485	2824644, 5822, 12
5	44	6, 2, 8; 1, 434	1508590, 3474, 8
5	44	6, 2, 8; 1, 446	1593118, 3570, 8

Coeff	Det	Reduced form	Unreduced form
1	52	2, 0, 26; 1, 266	1839658, 6916, 26
1	52	4, 2, 14; 1, 9	1174, 128, 14
7	56	2, 0, 28; 1, 155	672702, 4340, 28
-4	56	4, 0, 14; 1, 277	1074210, 3878, 14
7	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 199	396812, 1992, 10
-4	56	6, 2, 10; 1, 505	2552276, 5052, 10
-6	60	2, 0, 30; 1, 30	27002, 900, 30
3	60	4, 2, 16; 1, 17	4696, 274, 16
-6	60	6, 0, 10; 1, 90	81006, 900, 10
3	60	8, 2, 8; 1, 34	9392, 274, 8
-1	71	2, 1, 36; 1, 224	1806786, 8065, 36
-1	71	4, 1, 18; 1, 448	3613572, 8065, 18
-1	71	4, 1, 18; 1, 465	3892984, 8371, 18
-1	71	6, 1, 12; 1, 85	86876, 1021, 12
-1	71	6, 1, 12; 1, 404	1959406, 4849, 12
-1	71	8, 3, 10; 1, 15	2348, 153, 10
10	71	8, 3, 10; 1, 454	2063892, 4543, 10
1	72	2, 0, 36; 1, 259	2414918, 9324, 36
1	72	4, 0, 18; 1, 69	85702, 1242, 18
-4	72	6, 0, 12; 1, 190	433206, 2280, 12
-6	76	2, 0, 38; 1, 250	2375002, 9500, 38
-2	76	4, 2, 20; 1, 64	82180, 1282, 20
16	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 128	164360, 1282, 10
-6	76	8, 2, 10; 1, 576	3320072, 5762, 10

REFERENCES

1. A. N. Andrianov, *Quadratic Forms and Hecke Operators 254*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

2. A. Ash and P. Gunnells and M. McConnell, *Cohomology of Congruence Subgroups of $SL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ II*, J. Number Theory **128** 8 (2008), 2263-2274.
3. A. Brumer and K. Kramer, *Semistable abelian varieties with small division fields*, Galois theory and modular forms, Kluwer Academic, Boston MA, 2003, pp. 13-38.
4. A. Brumer and K. Kramer, *Abelian Surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}$* , (preprint).
5. T. Dern, *Paramodular Cusp Forms of Degree Two and Level 3*, Commentarii Mathematici Universitatis Sancti Pauli **51** 2 (2002), 157-194.
6. M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 55, Birkhäuser Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
7. V. Gritsenko, *Arithmetical lifting and its applications*, Number Theory Paris (1992-93), 103-126.
8. V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, *Commutator Coverings of Siegel Threefolds*, Duke Math. Jour. **94** (1998), 509-542.
9. K. Hashimoto and T. Ibukiyama, *On Relations of Dimensions of Automorphic Forms of $Sp(2, \mathbb{R})$ and Its Compact Twist $Sp(2)$ (II)*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Automorphic Forms and Number Theory, vol. 7, 1985, pp. 31-102.
10. T. Ibukiyama, *On symplectic Euler factors of genus two*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **30** (1984).
11. T. Ibukiyama, *On Relations of Dimensions of Automorphic Forms of $Sp(2, \mathbb{R})$ and Its Compact Twist $Sp(2)$ (I)*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Automorphic Forms and Number Theory, vol. 7, 1985, pp. 7-29.
12. T. Ibukiyama and F. Onodera, *On the graded ring of modular forms of the Siegel paramodular group of level 2*, Abh. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **67** (1997), 297-305.
13. T. Ibukiyama, *email*, Private communication to C. Poor, February 24, 2006.
14. T. Ibukiyama, *Dimension formulas of Siegel modular forms of weight 3 and supersingular abelian surfaces*, Siegel Modular Forms and Abelian Varieties, Proceedings of the 4-th Spring Conference on Modular Forms and Related Topics, 2007, pp. 39-60.
15. J. I. Igusa, *On the Ring of Modular Forms of Degree Two over $\mathbb{Z}$* , Amer. J. Math. **101** (1979), 149-182.
16. H. Iwaniec, *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 17, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1997.
17. A. Marschner, *Paramodular Cusp Forms of Degree Two with Particular Emphasis on the Level $t=5$* , Thesis (2005), 1-144.
18. G. Nipp, *Quaternary Quadratic Forms, Computer Generated Tables*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
19. O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
20. C. Poor and D. Yuen, *Linear dependence Siegel modular forms*, Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 205-234.
21. C. Poor and D. Yuen, *The Extreme Core*, Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg **75** (2005), 51-75.
22. C. Poor and D. Yuen, *Computations of spaces of Siegel modular cusp forms*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **59** 1 (2007), 185-222.

23. C. Poor and D. Yuen, *Dimensions of Cusp Forms For $\Gamma_0(p)$ in Degree Two and Small Weights*, Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg, **77** (2007), 59-80.
24. C. Poor and D. Yuen, Authors' website: math.lfc.edu/~yuen/paramodular.
25. B. Roberts and R. Schmidt, *On modular forms for the paramodular groups*, Automorphic forms and zeta functions, World Sci. Publ. (2006), 334-364.
26. G. Shimura, *On the Fourier coefficients of modular forms of several variables*, Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse (1975), 261-268.
27. N. Skoruppa, *Weil representations, dimension calculations and applications*, Siegel Modular Forms and Abelian Varieties, Proceedings of the 4-th Spring Conference on Modular Forms and Related Topics, 2007, pp. 216-229.
28. J. Sturm, *On the congruence of Modular Forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1240** (1987), 275-280.
29. J. Tate, *A nonbanal cusp form of weight one and level 133*, Letter of June 18 to A. Atkin (1974).
30. E. Witt, *Eine Identität zwischen Modulformen zweiten Grades*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hanischen Univ. **14** (1941), 323-337.
31. H. Yoshida, *Siegel Modular Forms and the arithmetic of quadratic forms*, Invent. Math. **60** (1980), 193-248.
32. H. Yoshida, *On generalization of the Shimura-Taniyama conjecture I and II*, Siegel Modular Forms and Abelian Varieties, Proceedings of the 4-th Spring Conference on Modular Forms and Related Topics, 2007, pp. 1-26.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FORDHAM UNIVERSITY, BRONX, NY 10458

Email: poor@fordham.edu

MATH/CS DEPARTMENT, LAKE FOREST COLLEGE, 555 N. SHERIDAN RD., LAKE FOREST, IL 60045

Email: yuen@lakeforest.edu